On Three-Dimensional Mirror Symmetry Based on arxiv: 1109.0407

Anindya Dey

Theory Group, UT Austin

March 3, 2012

Anindya Dey (Theory Group, UT Austin) On Three-Dimensional Mirror Symmetry

March 3, 2012 1 / 21

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)



- 2 String/M-theory Description
- Classification of Mirror Pairs
- 4 Localization and Partition Function Computation
- Conclusion

4 E

Overview: Mirror Symmetry

D = 3, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supermultiplets and R-Symmetry

N = 4 supersymmetry in D = 3 has 8 real supercharges, which are doublets of Spin(2, 1) ~ SL(2, ℝ) and transform as (2, 2) under the R-symmetry group, SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Overview: Mirror Symmetry

D = 3, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supermultiplets and R-Symmetry

- N = 4 supersymmetry in D = 3 has 8 real supercharges, which are doublets of Spin(2, 1) ~ SL(2, ℝ) and transform as (2, 2) under the R-symmetry group, SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R.
- Under the R-symmetry group SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R, Vector multiplet:(3 ⊕ 1, 1) + (2, 2) Half-hyper multiplet:(1, 2) + (2, 1) The half-hypers transform in pseudo-real representation of the gauge group. A N = 4 hypermultiplet in three dimensions consists of two copies of half-hypers.

Overview: Mirror Symmetry

D = 3, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supermultiplets and R-Symmetry

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry in D = 3 has 8 real supercharges, which are doublets of $Spin(2,1) \sim SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and transform as (2,2) under the R-symmetry group, $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.
- Under the R-symmetry group SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R, Vector multiplet:(3 ⊕ 1, 1) + (2, 2) Half-hyper multiplet:(1, 2) + (2, 1) The half-hypers transform in pseudo-real representation of the gauge group. A N = 4 hypermultiplet in three dimensions consists of two copies of half-hypers.
- Matter content in these supermultiplets is not symmetric with respect to the exchange $SU(2)_R \leftrightarrow SU(2)_L$ "twisted" multiplets where $SU(2)_R$ and $SU(2)_L$ are exchanged.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Mirror Symmetry

• Strictly IR duality between two theories which exchanges the Coulomb and the Higgs branches:

- 4 @ > - 4 @ > - 4 @ >

Mirror Symmetry

• Strictly IR duality between two theories which exchanges the Coulomb and the Higgs branches:

$$\mathcal{M}_C^A = \mathcal{M}_H^B$$

 $\mathcal{M}_H^A = \mathcal{M}_C^B$

• The hypermultiplet masses appear as deformation parameters of the Coulomb branch metric while FI parameters appear as deformation parameters of the Higgs branch - exchanged under duality.

Mirror Symmetry

• Strictly IR duality between two theories which exchanges the Coulomb and the Higgs branches:

$$\mathcal{M}_C^A = \mathcal{M}_H^B$$

 $\mathcal{M}_H^A = \mathcal{M}_C^B$

- The hypermultiplet masses appear as deformation parameters of the Coulomb branch metric while FI parameters appear as deformation parameters of the Higgs branch exchanged under duality.
- The precise transformation is captured in a linear map mirror map

String Theory Description: Type IIB

• Consider D3 branes in a Type IIB background $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{X}} \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{Y}}, \text{ wrapping } \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L \text{ - } L \text{ compact}$

くほと くほと くほと

String Theory Description: Type IIB

- Consider D3 branes in a Type IIB background $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{X}} \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{Y}}$, wrapping $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L$ - L compact
- $\mathcal{N} = 4, D = 3$ quiver gauge theories can be realized as world-volume theories on a stack of D3 branes endowed with some 1/2-BPS boundary conditions at y = 0 and y = R, where $y \in L = [0, R]$

・同下 ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

String Theory Description: Type IIB

- Consider D3 branes in a Type IIB background $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{X}} \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{Y}}$, wrapping $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L$ - L compact
- $\mathcal{N} = 4, D = 3$ quiver gauge theories can be realized as world-volume theories on a stack of D3 branes endowed with some 1/2-BPS boundary conditions at y = 0 and y = R, where $y \in L = [0, R]$
- A large class of such boundary conditions can be understood as D3 branes ending on NS5 or D5 branes and/or orbifold/orientifold 5-planes.

String Theory Description: Type IIB

- Consider D3 branes in a Type IIB background $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{X}} \times \mathbb{R}^3_{\vec{Y}}$, wrapping $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times L$ - L compact
- $\mathcal{N} = 4, D = 3$ quiver gauge theories can be realized as world-volume theories on a stack of D3 branes endowed with some 1/2-BPS boundary conditions at y = 0 and y = R, where $y \in L = [0, R]$
- A large class of such boundary conditions can be understood as D3 branes ending on NS5 or D5 branes and/or orbifold/orientifold 5-planes.
- Mirror Symmetry \iff S-duality of boundary conditions
 - \implies Exchange of NS5 and D5 branes, D3 invariant.

M-Theory Description

• *k* M2 branes in the background geometry $M : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_2$. $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are discrete subgroups of SU(2) and have an A - D - E classification.

過 ト イヨ ト イヨト

M-Theory Description

- *k* M2 branes in the background geometry $M : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_2$. $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are discrete subgroups of SU(2) and have an A - D - E classification.
- M → M₁ : ℝ^{2,1} × ALF₁ × ℂ²/Γ₂
 → k D2 branes in a background of D6 branes wrapping ℂ²/Γ₂. For the D2 world-volume theory (A), g^A_{YM} ∝ R^{ALF₁}_∞.

M-Theory Description

- *k* M2 branes in the background geometry $M : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_2$. $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are discrete subgroups of SU(2) and have an A - D - E classification.
- M → M₁ : ℝ^{2,1} × ALF₁ × ℂ²/Γ₂
 → k D2 branes in a background of D6 branes wrapping ℂ²/Γ₂. For the D2 world-volume theory (A), g^A_{YM} ∝ R^{ALF₁}_∞.
- $M \to M_2 : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma_1 \times ALF_2$ $\xrightarrow{\text{IIA}} k D2$ branes in a different background of D6 branes wrapping \mathbb{C}^2 / Γ_1 . For the D2 world-volume theory (B), $g_{YM}^B \propto R_{\infty}^{ALF_2}$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ …

M-Theory Description

- *k* M2 branes in the background geometry $M : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_2$. $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are discrete subgroups of SU(2) and have an A - D - E classification.
- M → M₁ : ℝ^{2,1} × ALF₁ × ℂ²/Γ₂
 → k D2 branes in a background of D6 branes wrapping ℂ²/Γ₂. For the D2 world-volume theory (A), g^A_{YM} ∝ R^{ALF₁}.
- $M \to M_2 : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma_1 \times ALF_2$ $\xrightarrow{\text{IIA}} k \ D2 \text{ branes in a different background of D6 branes wrapping}$ \mathbb{C}^2 / Γ_1 . For the D2 world-volume theory (B), $g_{YM}^B \propto R_{\infty}^{ALF_2}$.
- In the IR limit, when $g_{YM}^A, g_{YM}^B \to \infty$, A and B are described by the same M-theory background $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_2$. This is the statement of mirror symmetry.

$$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}_m$$



• A Model: $U(k)^m$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

$$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}_m$$



- A Model: U(k)^m gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and n fundamental hypers.
- B Model: U(k)ⁿ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and m fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = Trivial$



• A Model: *U*(*k*) gauge theory with one adjoint hyper and *n* fundamental hypers.

→ Ξ →

$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = Trivial$



- A Model: *U*(*k*) gauge theory with one adjoint hyper and *n* fundamental hypers.
- B Model: U(k)ⁿ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and 1 fundamental hyper.

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = Trivial$



• A-model: $U(k)^4 \times U(2k)^{n-3}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and 1 fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = Trivial$



- A-model: $U(k)^4 \times U(2k)^{n-3}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and 1 fundamental hypers.
- Sp(k) gauge theory with n fundamental hypers and one hyper in the anti-symmetric representation of Sp(k).

$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n \text{ even})$



• A-model: $U(k)^4 \times U(2k)^{n-3}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.

March 3, 2012 10 / 21

$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n \text{ even})$



- A-model: $U(k)^4 \times U(2k)^{n-3}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.
- B-model: $Sp(k) \times U(2k)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times Sp(k)$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and *m* fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n \text{ odd})$



• A-model: $U(k)^4 \times U(2k)^{n-3}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.

March 3, 2012 11 / 21

$\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n \text{ odd})$



- A-model: $U(k)^4 \times U(2k)^{n-3}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.
- B-model: $Sp(k) \times U(2k)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ gauge theory with bifundamental hypers, *m* fundamental hypers and 1 antisymmetric hyper of U(2k).

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n, m \text{ even})$



• A-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} \times Sp(k)^2$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n, m \text{ even})$



- A-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} \times Sp(k)^2$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.
- B-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} \times Sp(k)^2$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers and *m* fundamental hypers.

12 / 21

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even})$



• A-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} \times Sp(k)^2$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even})$



- A-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} \times Sp(k)^2$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers and *n* fundamental hypers.
- B-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \times U(2k)$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers (one hyper) and *m* fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n, m \text{ odd})$



• A-model: $Sp(k)^2 \times SO(4k)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} \times Sp(2k)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} \times U(2k)$ gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers(1 hyper) and *n* fundamental hypers.

$\Gamma_1 = D_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m (n, m \text{ odd})$



- A-model: Sp(k)² × SO(4k)^{m-3/2} × Sp(2k)^{m-3/2} × U(2k) gauge theory, with bi-fundamental half-hypers(1 hyper) and n fundamental hypers.
 B-model: Sp(k)² × SO(4k)^{n-3/2} × Sp(2k)^{n-3/2} × U(2k) gauge theory, with
 - bi-fundamental half-hypers (1 hyper) and *m* fundamental hypers.

Checking the Duality

• Computing the dimensions of the Coulomb and the Higgs branches and the number of independent FI and mass parameters on both sides.

Checking the Duality

- Computing the dimensions of the Coulomb and the Higgs branches and the number of independent FI and mass parameters on both sides.
- Comparing supersymmetric observables (like the partition function) on both sides as functions of FI parameters and masses and hence obtain the mirror map.

Checking the Duality

- Computing the dimensions of the Coulomb and the Higgs branches and the number of independent FI and mass parameters on both sides.
- Comparing supersymmetric observables (like the partition function) on both sides as functions of FI parameters and masses and hence obtain the mirror map.
- Checking the duality via the latter route requires understanding: A recipe to write down the partition function of a given quiver gauge theory in 3 dimension.

A prescription for implementing S-duality at the level of the partition function.

Checking the Duality (Continued)

• The recipe for writing down the partition function for a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory with matter on S^3 was given by Kapustin and collaborators (later extended to $\mathcal{N} = 2$), using localization methods. Localization forces all bosonic fields to vanish except for a real adjoint scalar σ

$$Z = \int d\sigma_0 \exp S_{cl}[\sigma_0] Z_{1-loop}[\sigma_0]$$

Checking the Duality (Continued)

• The recipe for writing down the partition function for a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory with matter on S^3 was given by Kapustin and collaborators (later extended to $\mathcal{N} = 2$), using localization methods. Localization forces all bosonic fields to vanish except for a real adjoint scalar σ

$$Z=\int d\sigma_0 \exp S_{cl}[\sigma_0] Z_{1-\mathit{loop}}[\sigma_0]$$

• For elliptical theories (compact direction S¹) with only NS5 and D5 branes, the partition function has a nice decomposition into "NS5" and "D5" contributions, leading to a straightforward implementation of S-duality.

- 4 周 ト - 4 日 ト - 1 日

Checking the Duality (Continued)

• The recipe for writing down the partition function for a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory with matter on S^3 was given by Kapustin and collaborators (later extended to $\mathcal{N} = 2$), using localization methods. Localization forces all bosonic fields to vanish except for a real adjoint scalar σ

$$Z=\int d\sigma_0 \exp S_{cl}[\sigma_0] Z_{1-loop}[\sigma_0]$$

- For elliptical theories (compact direction S¹) with only NS5 and D5 branes, the partition function has a nice decomposition into "NS5" and "D5" contributions, leading to a straightforward implementation of S-duality.
- For more complicated boundary conditions, the action of S-duality is not obvious. Our goal is to understand this action for the $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m(neven)$ duals, where the boundary conditions involve orbifold/orientifold 5-planes.

Example $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\Gamma_2 = D_m$ Mirror Duals (*n* even)



Introducing Orbifold/Orientifold: $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n, \Gamma_2 = D_m$

• Z_A can be recast in the form:

$$Z_{A} = \int \left(\sum_{\rho} (-1)^{\rho} \frac{\prod_{i} \sinh \pi \tau^{i}}{\prod_{i} \cosh \pi \tau^{i}} \exp 2\pi i \tau^{i} (\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}+1}^{'i} - \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}+1}^{k+\rho(i)})\right)$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{a=1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \sum_{\rho_{a}} (-1)^{\rho_{a}} \prod_{p} \frac{\exp 2\pi i \tau_{a}^{'p} (\sigma_{a}^{'p} - \sigma_{a-1}^{'\rho_{a}(p)})}{I_{a}(\sigma',\tau')}\right)$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{\beta=0,1,\dots,m-3} \sum_{\rho_{\beta}} (-1)^{\rho_{\beta}} \prod_{p} \frac{\exp 2\pi i \tilde{\tau}_{\beta}^{p} (\tilde{\sigma}_{\beta}^{p} - \tilde{\sigma}_{\beta+1}^{\rho,\beta(p)} + m_{\beta})}{\cosh \pi \tilde{\tau}_{\beta}^{p}}\right)$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{\rho'} (-1)^{\rho'} \frac{\prod_{i} \sinh \pi \tau'^{i}}{\prod_{i} \cosh \pi \tau'^{i}} \exp 2\pi i \tau'^{i} (\sigma_{m-2}^{'i} - \sigma_{m-2}^{k+\rho(i)})\right)$$

$$\times \prod_{\alpha} e^{2\pi i \eta_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \sigma_{\alpha}^{i}} \prod_{\beta} e^{2\pi i \tilde{\eta}_{\beta} \sum_{\rho} \tilde{\sigma}_{\beta}^{\rho}}$$

March 3, 2012 18 / 21

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

• For elliptic models, the transformation $\sigma'^p \leftrightarrow -\tau'^p, \tilde{\sigma}^p \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^p$ implements S-duality.

- For elliptic models, the transformation $\sigma'^p \leftrightarrow -\tau'^p, \tilde{\sigma}^p \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^p$ implements S-duality.
- Correct S-duality in this picture is found to be a simple generalization of Kapustin's prescription:

 $\sigma'^{\rho} \leftrightarrow -\tau'^{\rho}, \tilde{\sigma}^{\rho} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^{\rho}; \tau, \tilde{\tau}$ remaining invariant.

- For elliptic models, the transformation $\sigma'^p \leftrightarrow -\tau'^p, \tilde{\sigma}^p \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^p$ implements S-duality.
- Correct S-duality in this picture is found to be a simple generalization of Kapustin's prescription: $\sigma'^{p} \leftrightarrow -\tau'^{p}, \tilde{\sigma}^{p} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^{p}; \tau, \tilde{\tau}$ remaining invariant.
- $\tilde{Z}_A = Z_B$, provided the masses and FI parameters of the A-model satisfy:
 - $\eta_1 = \eta_2; \eta_3 = \eta_4$ and $m_{1,a}^f = m_{2,a}^f$ (along with bifundamental masses) \rightarrow A discrete gauge symmetry in the A-model.

- For elliptic models, the transformation $\sigma'^p \leftrightarrow -\tau'^p, \tilde{\sigma}^p \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^p$ implements S-duality.
- Correct S-duality in this picture is found to be a simple generalization of Kapustin's prescription: $\sigma'^{p} \leftrightarrow -\tau'^{p}, \tilde{\sigma}^{p} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\tau}^{p}; \tau, \tilde{\tau}$ remaining invariant.
- $\tilde{Z}_A = Z_B$, provided the masses and FI parameters of the A-model satisfy:
 - $\eta_1 = \eta_2$; $\eta_3 = \eta_4$ and $m_{1,a}^f = m_{2,a}^f$ (along with bifundamental masses) \rightarrow A discrete gauge symmetry in the A-model.
- The number of independent FI and mass parameters in the A-model are (m-1) and (n/2-1), while those for the B-model are (n/2-1) and (m-1) -check for the duality.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

۲

Introducing Orbifold/Orientifold: Mirror Map

The **Mirror Map** can now be read off from the equation $\tilde{Z}_A = Z_B$:

$$m_{bif} = -(\eta + \eta_1 + \eta_3)$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Introducing Orbifold/Orientifold: Mirror Map

The **Mirror Map** can now be read off from the equation $\tilde{Z}_A = Z_B$:

$$m_{bif} = -(\eta + \eta_1 + \eta_3)$$

۲

$$M_{a}^{f} = -(\eta + \eta_{3} - \sum_{m=3}^{a} \eta_{\beta}) = -(\sum_{1}^{a-1} \eta_{\beta} + \eta_{3}); a = 1, 2, ..., m - 3$$
$$M_{m-2}^{f} = -(\eta + \eta_{3}), M_{m-1}^{f} = 0, M_{m}^{f} = 0$$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

Introducing Orbifold/Orientifold: Mirror Map

The **Mirror Map** can now be read off from the equation $\tilde{Z}_A = Z_B$:

$$m_{bif} = -(\eta + \eta_1 + \eta_3)$$

۲

$$M_{a}^{f} = -(\eta + \eta_{3} - \sum_{m=3}^{a} \eta_{\beta}) = -(\sum_{1}^{a-1} \eta_{\beta} + \eta_{3}); a = 1, 2, ..., m - 3$$
$$M_{m-2}^{f} = -(\eta + \eta_{3}), M_{m-1}^{f} = 0, M_{m}^{f} = 0$$
$$\tilde{\eta}_{1} = m_{2}^{f}, \eta_{\beta}^{B} = m_{\beta+1}^{f} - m_{\beta}^{f}, \beta = 2, ..., \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

<ロト <問 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 臣

Introducing Orbifold/Orientifold: Mirror Map

The **Mirror Map** can now be read off from the equation $\tilde{Z}_A = Z_B$:

$$m_{bif} = -(\eta + \eta_1 + \eta_3)$$

۲

$$M_{a}^{f} = -(\eta + \eta_{3} - \sum_{m=3}^{a} \eta_{\beta}) = -(\sum_{1}^{a-1} \eta_{\beta} + \eta_{3}); a = 1, 2, ..., m - 3$$
$$M_{m-2}^{f} = -(\eta + \eta_{3}), M_{m-1}^{f} = 0, M_{m}^{f} = 0$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_1 = m_2^f, \eta_\beta^B = m_{\beta+1}^f - m_\beta^f, \beta = 2, ..., \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

 Agrees with the general expectations from the Type IIB brane constructions of these theories.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• We have catalogued a large class of 3D mirror duals from the M-theory description of mirror symmetry, obtaining new dual pairs in the process. We have checked the duality for a subset of new theories by comparing their partition functions.

- We have catalogued a large class of 3D mirror duals from the M-theory description of mirror symmetry, obtaining new dual pairs in the process. We have checked the duality for a subset of new theories by comparing their partition functions.
- The check provides a direct way to compute the mirror map difficult to do from moduli space analysis for most dual pairs.

- We have catalogued a large class of 3D mirror duals from the M-theory description of mirror symmetry, obtaining new dual pairs in the process. We have checked the duality for a subset of new theories by comparing their partition functions.
- The check provides a direct way to compute the mirror map difficult to do from moduli space analysis for most dual pairs.
- The computation provides a way to specify the dual theories more accurately for example, predicting discrete gauge symmetries.

- We have catalogued a large class of 3D mirror duals from the M-theory description of mirror symmetry, obtaining new dual pairs in the process. We have checked the duality for a subset of new theories by comparing their partition functions.
- The check provides a direct way to compute the mirror map difficult to do from moduli space analysis for most dual pairs.
- The computation provides a way to specify the dual theories more accurately for example, predicting discrete gauge symmetries.
- Future direction: Extending the calculation to include S-duals of generic boundary conditions.

3

- 4 目 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト