

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alkin, M. C. (1969). Evaluation theory development. Evaluation Comment, 2, 2-7.
- Alkin, M. C., & Fitz-Gibbon, C. T. (1975). Methods and theories of evaluating programs. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 8(3), 2-15.
- Anderson, R. D., Soptick, J. M., Rogers, W. T., & Worthen, B. R. (1971, August). An analysis and interpretation of tasks and competencies required of personnel conducting exemplary research and research-related activities in education (U.S. Office of Education Grant No. OEG-0-71-0617(520), Report No. 23). Boulder, CO: University of Colorado, AERA Task Force on Training Research and Research-Related Personnel.
- Anderson, S. B., & Ball, S. (1978). The profession and practice of program evaluation. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- André, M. (1982, October). Use of content analysis in educational evaluation: Doing prose analysis. Paper presented at the joint annual meeting of the Evaluation Network and the Evaluation Research Society, Baltimore, MD.
- Andrén, G. (1981). Reliability and content analysis. In K. E. Rosengren (Ed.), Sage annual reviews of communication research: Vol. 9. Advances in content analysis (pp. 43-67). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- APA/AERA/NCME. (1974). Standards for educational and psychological tests. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Attkisson, C. C., & Broskowski, A. (1978). Evaluation and the emerging human service concept. In C. C. Attkisson, W. A. Hargreaves, M. J. Horowitz, & J. E. Sorensen (Eds.), Evaluation of human service programs (pp. 3-26). New York: Academic Press.
- Attkisson, C. C., Brown, T. R., & Hargreaves, W. A. (1978). Roles and functions of evaluation in human service programs. In C. C. Attkisson, W. A. Hargreaves, M. J. Horowitz, & J. E. Sorensen (Eds.), Evaluation of human service programs (pp. 59-95). New York: Academic Press.
- Attkisson, C. C., Hargreaves, W. A., Horowitz, M. J., & Sorensen, J. E. (Eds.). (1978). Evaluation of human service programs. New York: Academic Press.

- Babbie, E. R. (1973). Survey research methods. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Baker, E. L. (1980). Values and policy in educational evaluation. In H. D. Gideonse, R. Koff & J. J. Schwab (Eds.), Values, inquiry, and education (CSE Monograph Series in Education No. 9, pp. 69-82). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for the Study of Evaluation.
- Barcus, F. E. (1959). Communications content: Analysis of the research 1900-1958: A content analysis of content analysis. Dissertation Abstracts, 20, 3279. (University Microfilm No. 60-143)
- Bennett, C. A., & Lumsdaine, A. A. (Eds.). (1975). Evaluation and experiment: Some critical issues in assessing social programs. New York: Academic Press.
- Berelson, B. (1952). Content analysis in communications research. New York: Free Press.
- Berelson, B., & Lazarsfeld, P. F. (1948). The analysis of communication content. Chicago & New York: University of Chicago & Columbia University.
- Bertalanffy, L. V. (1968). General system theory: Foundations, development, application (2nd ed.). New York: George Braziller.
- Bloom, B. S., Englehart, M.D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives: Handbook I: Cognitive Domain. New York: David McKay.
- Borich, G. D., & Jemelka, R. P. (1982). Programs and systems: An evaluation perspective. New York: Academic Press.
- Borland International. (1983). Turbo Pascal reference manual version 2.0 [Computer program manual]. Scotts Valley CA: Author.
- Braskamp, L. A., & Mayberry, P. W. (1982, March). A comparison of two sets of standards. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington, D.C.
- Brinkerhoff, R. O., Brethower, D. M., Hluchyj, T., & Nowakowski, J. R. (1983). Program evaluation: A practitioner's guide for trainers and educators: Vol. 1. Sourcebook/Casebook. Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.
- Budd, R. W., Thorp, R. K., & Donohew, L. (1967). Content analysis of communications. New York: Macmillan.

- Callahan, R. E. (1962). Education and the cult of efficiency. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1963). Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Carney, T. F. (1972). Content analysis: A technique for systematic inference from communications. Winnipeg, Canada: University of Manitoba Press.
- Caulley, D. N. (1983). Document analysis in program evaluation. Evaluation and Program Planning, 6, 19-29.
- Cherry, G. W. (1980). Pascal programming structures: An introduction to systematic programming. Reston, VA: Reston.
- Clark, K. (1965). Dark ghetto. New York: Harper and Row.
- Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 20, 37-46.
- Cohen, J. (1977). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (rev. ed.). New York: Academic Press.
- Coleman, J. S., Campbell, E. Q., Hobson, C. J., McPortland, J., Mood, A. M., Weinfeld, F. D., & York, R. L. (1966). Equality of educational opportunity. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education.
- Cook, D. L. (1966). Program evaluation and review techniques, applications in education (U.S. Office of Education Cooperative Monograph No. 17). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Office of Education.
- Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (1979). Quasi-experimentation: Design and analysis issues for field settings. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Cook, T. D., & Reichardt, C. S. (Eds.). (1979). Qualitative and quantitative methods. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Cordray, D. S. (1982, September). An assessment of the utility of the ERS standards. In P. H. Rossi (Ed.), Standards for evaluation practice (New Directions for Program Evaluation No. 15, pp. 67-81). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1963). Course improvement through evaluation. Teachers College Record, 64, 672-683.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1982). Designing evaluations of educational and social programs. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Cronbach, L. J., Ambron, S. R., Dornbusch, S. M., Hess, R. D., Hornik, R. C., Phillips, D. C., Walker, D. F., & Weiner, S. S. (1980). Toward reform of program evaluation: Aims, methods, and institutional arrangements. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Snow, R. E. (1969). Individual differences in learning ability as a function of institutional variables. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Davis, B. G. (Ed.). (1986, March). Teaching of evaluation across the disciplines (New Directions for Program Evaluation No. 29). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Davis, R. H., Alexander, L. T., & Yelon, S. L. (1974). Learning system design: An approach to the improvement of instruction. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Demaline, R. E., & Quinn, D. W. (1979, April). Hints for planning and conducting a survey and a bibliography of survey methods (Instructional Aids Series No. 2). Kalamazoo: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Digital Equipment Corporation. (1984a). Word processing using list processing [Computer program manual]. Maynard, MA: Author.
- Digital Equipment Corporation. (1984b). Word processing using sort [Computer program manual]. Maynard, MA: Author.
- Downs, C. W., Smeyak, G. P., & Martin, E. (1980). Professional interviewing. New York: Harper & Row.
- Dunphy, D. C. (1966). The construction of categories for content analysis dictionaries. In P. J. Stone, D. C. Dunphy, M. S. Smith, & D. M. Ogilvie (Eds.), The general inquirer: A computer approach to content analysis (pp. 134-168). Cambridge, MA: The M.I.T. Press.
- Ebel, R. L. (1965). Measuring educational achievement. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Eisner, E. W. (1969). Instructional and expressive objectives: Their formulation and use in curriculum (AERA Monograph Series in Curriculum Evaluation No. 3). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Eisner, E. W. (1975, March). The perceptive eye: Toward the re-formation of educational evaluation. Invited address presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, DC.
- Ennis, R. (1973). On causality. Educational Researcher, 2, 6.

- ERS Standards Committee. (1982, September). Evaluation Research Society standards for program evaluation. In P. H. Rossi (Ed.), Standards for evaluation practice (New Directions for Program Evaluation No. 15, pp. 7-19). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Flaherty, E. W., & Morell, J. A. (1978). Evaluation: Manifestations of a new field. Journal of Evaluation and Program Planning, 1, 1-10.
- Flanagan, J. C. (1939). General considerations in the selection of test items and a short method of estimating the product-moment coefficient from data at the tails of the distribution. Journal of Educational Psychology, 30, 674-680.
- Frankenna, W. K. (1967). Value and valuation. In P. Edwards (Ed.), The encyclopedia of philosophy (Vol. 8, pp. 229-232). New York: Macmillan.
- Gagné, R. M. (1970). The conditions of learning (2nd ed.). New York: Holt, Reinhart, & Winston.
- Geller, A., Kaplan, D., & Lasswell, H. D. (1942). An experimental comparison of four ways of coding editorial content. Journalism Quarterly, 19, 362-370.
- Gerbner, G., Holsti, R., Krippendorff, K., Paisley, W. J., & Stone, P. J. (Eds.). (1969). The analysis of communication content: Developments in scientific theories and computer techniques. New York: John Wiley.
- Gideonse, H. D., Koff, R., & Schwab, J. J. (1980). Values, inquiry, and education (CSE Monograph Series in Education No. 9). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for the Study of Evaluation.
- Glass, G. V., & Maquire, T. O. (1968). Analysis of time-series quasi-experiments (U.S. Office of Education Report No. 6-8329). Boulder: University of Colorado, Laboratory of Educational Research.
- Gove, P. B. (Ed.). (1971). Webster's third new international dictionary of the English language (unabridged). Springfield, MA: Meriam.
- Gray, P. J. (1984a). Microcomputers in evaluation. Evaluation News, 5(1), 81-86.
- Gray, P. J. (1984b). Microcomputers in evaluation. Evaluation News, 5(2), 76-87.

- Gray, P. J. (1984c). Microcomputers in evaluation. Evaluation News, 5(3), 60-69.
- Gray, P. J. (1984d). Evaluating microcomputer-based administrative software. Evaluation News, 5(4), 77-88.
- Guba, E. G. (1978). Toward a methodology of naturalistic inquiry in educational evaluation (CSE Monograph Series in Education No. 8). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for the Study of Education.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1981). Effective evaluation: Improving the usefulness of evaluation results through responsive and naturalistic approaches. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hagedorn, H. J., Beck, K. J., Neubert, S. F., & Werlin, S. H. (1976). A working manual of simple program evaluation techniques for community mental health centers. Rockville, MD: National Institute of Mental Health.
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). Item response theory: Principles and applications. Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.
- Hamilton, D. (1977). Making sense of curriculum evaluation. In L. S. Shulman (Ed.), Review of research in education. Itasca, IL: Peacock.
- Hammond, R. L. (1973). Evaluation at the local level. In B. R. Worthen & J. R. Sanders, Educational evaluation: Theory and practice (pp. 157-169). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Harrison, J. (1967). Ethical naturalism. In P. Edwards (Ed.), The encyclopedia of philosophy (Vol. 3, pp. 69-71). New York: Macmillan.
- Hays, D. C. (1960). Automatic content analysis. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation.
- Hersh, C. (1972). Social history, mental health and community control. American Psychology, 27, 749-754.
- Holder, W. (1982). The word plus: Spelling checker with automatic correction [Computer program manual]. San Diego: Oasis Systems.
- Holsti, O. R. (1962). An adaptation of the General Inquirer for the systematic study of political documents. Behavioral Sciences, 9, 382-388.
- Holsti, O. R. (1969). Content analysis for the social sciences and humanities. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

- House, E. R. (Ed.). (1973). School evaluation: The politics and process. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- House, E. R. (1977). The logic of evaluative argument (CSE Monograph Series in Education No. 7). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for the Study of Evaluation.
- House, E. R. (1978). Assumptions underlying evaluation models. Educational Researcher, 7(3), 4-12.
- House, E. R. (1980a). Democratizing evaluation. In H. D. Gideonse, R. Koff, & J. J. Schwab (Eds.), Values, inquiry, and education (CSE Monograph Series in Education No. 9, pp. 83-101). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for the Study of Evaluation.
- House, E. R. (1980b). Evaluating with validity. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- House, E. R. (Ed.). (1983). Philosophy of Evaluation (New Directions in Program Evaluation No. 19). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- House, E. R., & Care, N. S. (1979). Fair evaluation agreement. Educational Theory, 29, 159-169.
- Janis, I. L. (1943). Meaning and the study of symbolic behavior. Psychiatry, 6, 425-439.
- Janis, I. L. (1965). The problem of validating content analysis. In H. D. Lasswell, N. Leites, & Associates (Eds.), Language of politics: Studies in quantitative semantics (pp. 55-82). Cambridge: M.I.T. Press.
- Jencks, C., Smith, M., Adand, H., Bane, M. J., Cohen, D., Gintis, H., Heynes, B., & Michelson, S. (1972). Inequality: A reassessment of the effect of family and schooling in America. New York: Basic Books.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (1981). Standards for evaluations of educational programs, projects, and materials. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kaplan, A., & Goldsen, J. M. (1965). The reliability of content analysis categories. In H. D. Lasswell, N. Leites, & Associates (Eds.), Language of politics: Studies in quantitative semantics (pp. 83-112). Cambridge: M.I.T. Press.
- Kauffman, R. A. (1969, May). Toward educational system planning: Alice in Educationland. Audiovisual Instructor, 14, 47-48.

- Kerlinger, F. N. (1986). Foundations of behavioral research (3rd ed.). New York: Holt, Reinhart, & Winston.
- Kiresuk, T. J., & Lund, S. H. (1978). Goal attainment scaling. In C. C. Attkisson, W. A. Hargreaves, M. J. Horowitz, & J. E. Sorensen (Eds.), Evaluation of human service programs (pp. 341-370). New York: Academic Press.
- Klausmeier, H. J., & Harris, C. W. (1966). Analyses of concept learning. New York: Academic Press.
- Krathwohl, D. R. (1980). The myth of value-free evaluation and the evaluator as negotiations facilitator-fact finder. In H. D. Gideonse, R. Koff & J. J. Schwab (Eds.), Values, inquiry, and education (CSE Monograph Series in Education No. 9, pp. 102-131). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for the Study of Evaluation.
- Krathwohl, D. R., Bloom, B. S., & Masia, B. B. (1964). Taxonomy of educational objectives: Handbook II: Affective domain. New York: David McKay.
- Krippendorff, K. (1967). An examination of content analysis: A proposal for a general framework and an information calculus for message analytic situations. Dissertation Abstracts, 28, 5082A. (University Microfilms No. 68-8140)
- Krippendorff, K. (1980). Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Lasswell, H. D., Leites, N., & Associates. (1965). Language of politics: Studies in quantitative semantics. Cambridge, MA: M.I.T. Press.
- Lasswell, H. D., Lerner, D., & Pool, I. de S. (1952). The comparative study of symbols. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Lessinger, L. M. (1970). Every kid a winner: Accountability in education. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Lewy, A. (Ed.). (1977). Handbook of curriculum evaluation. New York: Longman.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1979, September). The distinction between merit and worth in evaluation. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Evaluation Network, Cincinnati, OH. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 183 574)
- Lindblom, C. (1975). The intelligence of democracy: Decision making through mutual adjustment. New York: Free Press.

- Lindquist, E. F. (Ed.). (1951). Educational measurement. Washington, DC: American Council on Education.
- Lindquist, E. F. (1953). Design and analysis of experiments in psychology and education. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.
- Lord, F. M., & Novick, M. R. (1968). Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Lund, D. A. (1978). Mental health program evaluation: Where do we start? Journal of Evaluation and Program Planning, 1, 31-40.
- Madaus, G. F., Scriven, M. S., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (Eds.). (1983). Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation. Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.
- Madaus, G. F., Stufflebeam, D. L., & Scriven, M. S. (1983). Program evaluation: A historical overview. In G. F. Madaus, M. S. Scriven, & D. L. Stufflebeam (Eds.), Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation (pp. 3-22). Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.
- Madron, T. W., Tate, C. N., & Brookshire, R. G. (1985). Using microcomputers in research (Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences No. 52). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- McLaughlin, M. W. (1975). Evaluation and reform. Cambridge, MA: Ballinger Publishing.
- McWilliams, P. A. (1983). The word processing book: A short course in computer literacy. Los Angeles: Prelude Press.
- Merritt, J. G. (1984). Analysis of educational content in periodicals, 1930-1979. Dissertation Abstracts International, 45, 436A. (University Microfilms No. DA-8,412,313)
- Metfessel, N. S., & Michael, W. B. (1967). A paradigm involving multiple criterion measures for the evaluation of the effectiveness of school programs. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 27, 931-943.
- Mill, J. S. (1893). A system of logic (8th ed.). New York: Harper Publishing.
- Miller, J. G. (1978). Living systems. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mitchell, R. A. (1967). The use of content analysis for exploratory studies. Public Opinion Quarterly, 31, 230-241.

- Morris, W. (Ed.). (1969). The American Heritage dictionary of the English language. Boston: American Heritage Publishing and Houghton Mifflin.
- Naur, P. (Ed.). (1963). Revised report on the algorithmic language ALGOL 60. Communications of the ACM, 6, 1-17.
- Newell, A., & Simon, H. A. (1963). General problem solver: A program that simulates human thought. In E. A. Feigenbaum & J. Feldman (Eds.), Computers and thought (pp. 279-293). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Nie, N. H., Hull, C. H., Jenkins, J. G., Steinbrenner, K., & Bent, D. H. (1975). SPSS: Statistical package for the social sciences (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Osgood, C. E. (1959). The representational model and relevant research methods. In I. de S. Pool (Ed.), Trends in content analysis (pp. 33-88). Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Osgood, C. E. (1962). Studies on the generality of affective meaning systems. American Psychologist, 17, 10-28.
- Osgood, C. E., Saporta, S., & Nunnally, J. C. (1956). Evaluative assertion analysis. Litera, 3, 47-102.
- Osgood, C. E., Suci, G. J., & Tannenbaum, P. H. (1957). The measurement of meaning. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Owens, T. (1971, April). Application of adversary proceedings to educational evaluation and decision making. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York.
- Parlett, M., & Hamilton, D. (1976). Evaluation as illumination: A new approach to the study of innovatory programs. In G. V. Glass (Ed.), Evaluation studies review annual: Vol. 1 (pp. 140-157). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (1978). Utilization-focused evaluation. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (1980). Qualitative evaluation methods. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (1981). Creative evaluation. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (1982). Practical evaluation. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

- Patton, M. Q., French, B., & Perrone, V. (1976, August). Does accountability count without teacher support? An assessment of the Kalamazoo Public Schools accountability system from the perspective of teachers. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Minnesota Center for Social Research.
- Payne, S. L. (1951). The art of asking questions. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Pool, I. de S. (1959). Trends in content analysis today: A summary. In I. de S. Pool (Ed.), Trends in content analysis (pp. 189-233). Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Pool, I. de S. (Ed.). (1959). Trends in content analysis. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Popham, W. J. (1969). Objectives and instruction. In R. Stake (Ed.), Instructional objectives (pp. 32-64) (AERA Monograph Series on Curriculum Evaluation No. 3). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Popham, W. J. (1971). An evaluation guidebook: A set of practical guidelines for the educational evaluator. Los Angeles: Instructional Objectives Exchange.
- Popham, W. J. (Ed.). (1974). Evaluation in education: Current applications. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- Popham, W. J. (1975). Educational evaluation. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Provus, M. (1971). Discrepancy evaluation. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- Ratliff, W. (1982). dBASE II: Assembly language relational database management system [Computer program manual]. Culver City, CA: Ashton-Tate.
- Reinhard, D. L. (1972). Methodology development for input evaluation using advocate and design teams. Dissertation Abstracts International, 33, 5982A. (University Microfilms No. 73-11,563)
- Rice, J. M. (1897). The futility of the spelling grind. The Forum, 23, 163-172.
- Rice, J. M. (1914). Scientific management in education. New York: Hinds, Noble, & Eldredge.
- Rippey, R. M. (1973). Studies in transactional evaluation. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.

- Rivlin, J. (1971). Systematic thinking for social action. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institute.
- Rosengren, K. E. (Ed.). (1981). Sage annual reviews of communication research: Vol. 9. Advances in content analysis. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Rossi, P. H. (Ed.). (1982, September). Standards for evaluation practice (New Directions for Program Evaluation No. 15). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Rossi, P. H., Freeman, H. E., & Wright, S. R. (1979). Evaluation: A systematic approach. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Rossi, P. H., & Williams, W. (1972). Evaluating social programs. New York: Seminar Press.
- Sager, N. (1981). Natural language information processing: A computer grammar of English and its applications. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Sanders, J. R. & Hershiser, M. A. (1976). A proposal to study the attributes of a classroom that determine its pervasive quality. Kalamazoo, MI: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Schalock, H. D., & Sell, G. R. (Eds.). (1972). The Oregon studies in educational research, development, diffusion and evaluation: Vol. 3. Conceptual frameworks for viewing educational RDD&E (U.S. Office of Education Grant No. OEG-0-70-4977, Project No. 0-0701). Monmouth, OR: University of Oregon, College of Education, Teaching Research.
- Schrodt, P. A. (1984). Microcomputer methods for social scientists (Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences No. 40). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Scott, W. A. (1955). Reliability of content analysis: The case of nominal scale coding. Public Opinion Quarterly, 19, 321-325.
- Scriven, M. S. (1967). The methodology of evaluation. In R. Tyler, R. Gagné, & M. Scriven, Perspectives of Curriculum Evaluation (AERA Monograph Series on Curriculum Evaluation No. 1, pp. 39-81). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Scriven, M. S. (1973). Goal-free evaluation. In E. R. House (Ed.), School evaluation: The politics and process (pp. 319-328). Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- Scriven, M. S. (1974a). Evaluation perspectives and procedures. In W. J. Popham (Ed.), Evaluation in education: Current applications. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.

- Scriven, M. S. (1974b). Prose and cons about goal-free evaluation. Evaluation Comment, 3(4), 1-4.
- Scriven, M. S. (1975, June). Evaluation bias and its control (Occasional Paper Series No. 4). Kalamazoo: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Scriven, M. S. (1978). Merit vs. value. Evaluation News, 1(8), 20-29.
- Sebeok, T. A., & Zeps, V. J. (1958). An analysis of structured content with application of electronic computer research in psycholinguistics. Language and Speech, 1, 181-193.
- Shelly, A., & Sibert, E. (1985). Using logic programming to facilitate qualitative data analysis. Unpublished manuscript, Syracuse University, School of Computer and Information Science, Syracuse, NY.
- Smith, E. & Tyler, R. (1942). Appraising and recording student progress. New York: Harper and Row.
- Smith, N. L. (Ed.). (1981a). Metaphors for evaluation: Sources for new methods. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Smith, N. L. (Ed.). (1981b). New techniques for evaluation. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Snider, J. G., & Osgood, C. E. (1969). Semantic differential technique: A sourcebook. Chicago: Aldine.
- Speed, J. G. (1893). Do newspapers give the news? The Forum, 15, 705-711.
- Spencer, L. (1974). The federal approach to service integration. The Urban and Social Change Review, 7, 7-13.
- Spiegelman, M., Terwilliger, C., & Fearing, F. (1953). The reliability of agreement in content analysis. Journal of Social Psychology, 37, 175-187.
- Stake, R. E. (1967). The countenance of educational evaluation. Teachers College Record, 68, 523-540.
- Stake, R. E. (1970). Objectives, priorities, and other judgment data. Review of Educational Research, 40, 181-212.
- Stake, R. E. (1974, September). Nine approaches to educational evaluation. Unpublished chart. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois, Center for Instructional Research and Curriculum Evaluation.

- Stake, R. E. (1975). Program evaluation, particularly responsive evaluation (Occasional Paper Series No. 5). Kalamazoo: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Stake, R. E. (1981). Persuasions, not models. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 3, 83-84.
- Stake, R. E., & Denny, T. (1969). Needed concepts and techniques for utilizing more fully the potential of evaluation. In Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education: Vol. 68. Educational evaluation: New roles, new means (pp. 370-390). Chicago: National Society for the Study of Education.
- Stanley, J. C., & Hopkins, K. D. (1972). Educational and psychological measurement and evaluation (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Stone, P. J., Dunphy, D. C., Smith, M. S., & Ogilvie, D. M. (1966). The general inquirer: A computer approach to content analysis. Cambridge: M.I.T. Press.
- Stufflebeam, D. L. (1966). A depth study of the evaluation requirement. Theory into practice, 5, 121-134.
- Stufflebeam, D. L. (1973). Evaluation as enlightenment for decision-making. In B. R. Worthen & J. R. Sanders, Educational evaluation: Theory and Practice (pp. 143-148). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Stufflebeam, D. L. (1974, December). Meta-evaluation (Occasional Paper Series No. 3). Kalamazoo: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Stufflebeam, D. L. (1982, September). A next step: Discussion to consider unifying the ERS and Joint Committee standards. In P. H. Rossi (Ed.), Standards for evaluation practice (New Directions for Program Evaluation No. 15, pp. 27-36). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Stufflebeam, D. L., Foley, W. J., Gephart, W. J., Guba, E. G., Hammond, R. L., Merriman, H. O., & Provus, M. M. (1971). Educational evaluation and decision-making. Itasca, IL: Peacock.
- Stufflebeam, D. L., & Webster, W. J. (1980). An analysis of alternative approaches to evaluation. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 2(3), 5-19.
- Suchman, E. A. (1967). Evaluative research: Principles and practice in public service and social action programs. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

- Sutherland, J. W. (1975). Systems: Analysis, administration, and architecture. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Taylor, J. P. (1974, October). An administrator's perspective of evaluation (Occasional Paper Series No. 2). Kalamazoo: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Thorndike, R. L. (1971). Educational Measurement (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: American Council of Education.
- Tyler, R. (1949). Basic principles of curriculum and instruction. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- U.S. Department of Education. (1981). Application of grants under handicapped personnel preparation program. Washington, D.C.: Author.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. (1978). Assessing social program impact evaluations: A checklist approach. Washington, D.C.: Author.
- Wagner, H. M. (1975). Principles of operations research: With applications to managerial decisions (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Warriner, J. E., & Griffith, F. (1963). English grammar and composition: Complete course. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World.
- Warwick, D. P., & Linnger, C. A. (1975). The sample survey: Theory and practice. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Webb, E. J., Campbell, D. T., Schwartz, R. D., & Sechrest, L. (1966). Unobtrusive measures: Nonreactive research in the social sciences. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Weber, R. P. (1985). Basic content analysis (Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences No. 49). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Weiss, C. H. (Ed.). (1972). Evaluating action programs: Readings in social action and education. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Wildemuth, B. M. (1981, December). A bibliography to accompany the Joint Committee's standards on educational evaluation. (Report No. 81). Princeton, NJ: ERIC Clearinghouse on Tests, Measurement, & Evaluation, Educational Testing Service.
- Wiley, D. E., & Bock, R. D. (1967). Quasi-experimentation in educational settings: Comment. The School Review, 75, 353-366.
- Winston, P. H. (1984). Artificial intelligence (2nd ed.). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

- Wolf, R. L. (1973). How teachers feel toward evaluation. In E. R. House (Ed.), School evaluation: The politics and process. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- Wolff, R. P. (1968). The poverty of liberalism. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Wood, M. (1984). Using Key-Word-In-Context concordance programs for qualitative and quantitative social research. Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 20, 289-297.
- Worthen, B. R., & Sanders, J. R. (1973). Educational evaluation: Theory and practice. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Worthen, B. R., & Sanders, J. R. (1984, September). Content specialization and educational evaluation: A necessary marriage? (Occasional Paper Series No. 14). Kalamazoo, MI: Western Michigan University, Evaluation Center.
- Yavorski, D. K. (1978). Discrepancy evaluation: A practitioner's guide. Charlottesville: University of Virginia, Evaluation Research Center.