An efficient routing strategy on spatial scale-free networks
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Traffic dynamics has drawn much more attention recently, but most current research barely considers the space factor, which is of critical importance in many real traffic systems. In this paper, we focus our research on traffic dynamics of a spatial scale-free network with the restriction of bandwidth proportional to link Euclidean distance, and a new routing strategy is proposed with consideration of both Euclidean distance and betweenness centralities (BC) of edges. It is found that compared with the shortest distance path (SDP) strategy and the minimum betweenness centralities (MBC) of links strategy, our strategy under some parameters can effectively balance the traffic load and avoid excessive traveling distance which can improve the spatial network capacity and some system behaviors reflecting transportation efficiency, such as average packets traveling time, average packets waiting time and system throughput, traffic load and so on. Besides, though the restriction of bandwidth can trigger congestion, the proposed routing strategy always has the best performance no matter what bandwidth becomes. These results can provide insights for research on real networked traffic systems.
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1. Introduction

With development of the economy, many complex network systems (such as air traffic system, Internet and World Wide Web) play more and more important role in modern society. However, their performance has been approaching their limit because of the increasing serious congestion condition which has also incurred huge financial losses. How to relieve congestion on these systems has thus promptly become a hot and intriguing research topic in different areas. Especially, network traffic has attracted much more attention in the past decade due to these complex systems, which can be abstracted into networks.

Network traffic models are proposed to mimic the traffic process. Based on some routing protocols, packets are produced to travel in the network whose sources and destinations are chosen randomly. As increases, the network becomes more and more congested. The capacity of a network is defined by a critical value , at which a continuous transition occurs from free flow to congestion.

Normally, it is expensive to modify the network and thus adopting efficient routing strategies seems to be more practical to improve the traffic efficiency. Therefore, various routing strategies have been studied. The random walk strategy has been researched at the very beginning. However, the real traffic behavior is not random, but rather purposeful. The shortest path strategy is widely adopted in literatures and real life, but it can easily cause the failure of hub routers with high degree and high betweenness. proposed an efficient routing strategy via redistributing traffic loads from central nodes to other noncentral nodes, which can improve the network capacity more than 10 times. introduced a global dynamic routing strategy for networks and it is found that the system capacity is almost two times as much as that with the efficient routing strategy. Considering the combination of static structural properties and dynamic traffic conditions together, proposed a hybrid routing strategy. The routing strategies mentioned above need the knowledge of the whole system, which will become impractical if the network size is huge. Consequently, routing strategies using local topological information has been studied. With consideration of local topological information, presented the nearest neighbor searching strategy. proposed a local routing strategy based on the local information on link bandwidth.

However, current works mainly ignore the space factor. In fact, many real networks are embedded into a two- or three-dimensional space with spatial constraints, such as transportation network and wireless communication networks. Moreover, it is found that the space factor has important effects on a network topological properties and consequently on the processes which takes place on them. For example, power grids and transportation networks obviously depend on distance, many communication network devices have a short radio range, and most people have their friends and relatives in their neighborhood. An important case of the brain system is, regions that are spatially closer. They have a greater probability of being connected.
than remote regions as longer axons are more costly in terms of material and energy. Another particularly important example of such case is the Internet, which is influenced by a set of routers linked by physical cables with different lengths and latency times.\textsuperscript{31}

Moreover, previous studies never consider the relation of each link’s bandwidth and Euclidean distance. However, obviously in real systems, the bandwidth of each link is limited to the Euclidean distance, and in most cases, these restrictions contribute to the triggering of congestion.

In this paper, we focus our research on network traffic on a spatial scale-free network in which the bandwidth of the link is assumed to be proportional to links’ Euclidean distance. We find that bandwidth can decrease the network capacity, and a routing strategy with consideration of both Euclidean distance and betweenness centralities (BC) of edges under some parameters that can effectively improve transportation efficiency by balancing the traffic load and avoid unbearable traveling distance. Simulation results on the spatial network show that compared with the shortest routing strategy and the minimum BC (MBC) of links strategy, even at the situation of low bandwidth, our routing strategy can considerably improve spatial network capacity and some system behaviors which reflect transportation efficiency, such as average packets traveling time, average packets waiting time and system throughput, traffic load and so on. These results do provide insights for research on real networked traffic systems.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, a network traffic model is introduced. The simulation results and discussions are given in Sec. 3. Conclusion is presented in Sec. 4.

2. Network Traffic Model

In this paper, we adopt a spatial network model proposed by Manna\textsuperscript{32} which essentially elaborates on the preferential attachment model proposed by Albert and Barabasi\textsuperscript{5} and has many important ingredients in the formation of various real-world networks. The network grows by systematically introducing one node at a time with randomly chosen coordinates \{\((x, y) : 0 \leq x, y \leq a\}\) with uniform probabilities. In addition, the attachment probability that the new node introduced at time \(t\) would be connected to its \(i\)th predecessor \((0 \leq i \leq t - 1)\) is: \(P_i(t) \sim k_i(t)l^\gamma\), where \(l\) is the minimum integer of the Euclidean distance between the \(t\)th and the \(i\)th node, \(k_i(t)\) is the degree of the \(i\)th node at time \(t\) and \(\gamma\) is a continuously varying parameter. Then, the physical infrastructure of the spatial network is constructed based on the same rules of the well-known Barabsi Albert (BA) scale-free network model. When \(\gamma = 0\), it is the usual BA model. Besides, the network is indicated to be scale-free for all values of \(\gamma > -1\), and the degree distribution decays are stretched exponentially for the other values of \(\gamma\). The link length distribution follows a power law: \(D(l) \sim l^\delta\), where \(\delta\) is calculated exactly for the whole range of values of \(\gamma\).\textsuperscript{32}
In network traffic models, at each time-step, there are $R$ packets generated with sources and destinations being chosen randomly. The packets are delivered according to a certain routing strategy with the velocity of $v$. Besides, each node has two functions: delivery and storage of packets. The delivery capability of each node is denoted by $C$. The packet queue length in buffers can be infinite. Assuming that $L_i(t)$ is the number of packets queuing in the buffer of node $i$ at time-step $t$, then the number of packets $p_i^t$ which will be delivered can be denoted as

$$p_i^t = \begin{cases} 
L_i(t), & \text{if } L_i(t) < C, \\
C, & \text{else}.
\end{cases}$$

(1)

If the queue length is less than $C$, then all packets can be delivered. Otherwise, $C$ packets are delivered according to the first-in-first-out strategy and $L_i(t) - C$ packets will be delayed. In addition, we assume that each packet on an edge will be delivered a unit Euclidean distance in one time-step. In this paper, bandwidth of each link ($B$) is also considered and assumed to be proportional to the Euclidean distance ($f$) which can be described by $B = \lambda f$, where $\lambda$ is a tunable parameter. Once packets reach their destinations, they will be removed from the system.

Next, the routing strategy adopted in this paper will be explained in detail. The shortest path strategy can make packets reach their destination with the shortest Euclidean distance, but it may cause severe congestion at the hub routers with high degree and high betweenness. On the other side, the MBC of edges routing strategy may relieve traffic load at the hub routers, but it could result in very long traveling distance for nodes. In order to balance the traffic load and traveling distance to improve the transportation efficiency, the routing strategy based on the Euclidean distance and BC of edges (EB) will be considered. For any path between nodes $s$ and $d$ denoted as $\text{Path}(s \rightarrow d) := s \equiv x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n \equiv d$, the effective path between $s$ and $d$ is corresponding to the path that makes the value minimum for the combination of the Euclidean distance and BC of edges, which is defined by

$$L(\text{Path}(s \rightarrow d) : \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_{i+1}, x_i)^\alpha g(x_{i+1}, x_i)^\beta,$$

(2)

where $f(x_{i+1}, x_i) = \|x_{i+1} - x_i\|$, which denotes the Euclidean distance between nodes $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$, and $g(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ is the BC of the edge from $x_i$ to $x_{i+1}$ which is defined by

$$g(x_{i+1}, x_i) = \sum_{s \neq d} \frac{\delta_{sd}(x_{i+1}, x_i)}{\delta_{sd}},$$

(3)

where $\delta_{sd}$ is the number of paths with shortest Euclidean distance going from $s$ to $d$ and $\delta_{sd}(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ is the number of shortest Euclidean distance paths going from $s$ to $d$ and passing through $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$. When $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, it is defined as the shortest distance path (SDP) routing strategy with a definition different from the traditional shortest path routing strategy used in the most network literatures, because the SDP in this paper is the one with the minimum Euclidean distance. Besides, we can see
that the betweenness centrality is defined based on the SDP. When $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, it is the MBC of edges routing strategy. Hence, we can conclude that different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ do have a clear influence on the routing strategy, and we will analyze it in detail below.

3. Simulation Results and Discussion

We set the network parameters as $N = 1225$, $m = m_0 = 2$, $\gamma = -0.5$, $C = 5$, $a = 200$ and $v = 1$. The queue buffer on each node is assumed to be unlimited, and the total simulation time $T$ is set to be 10,000. First, $\lambda$ is set to be large enough to check the traffic behavior under different routing strategies without the influence of bandwidth. To be accurate, the simulation results are averaged by 30 individual runs on 30 BA networks with the same network parameters.

First, the order parameter

$$\eta(R) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{C}{R} \langle \frac{\Delta N_p}{\Delta t} \rangle,$$

is introduced to describe the transitions of traffic flow in the network, where in Eq. (3) $\Delta N_p = N_p(t + \Delta t) - N_p(t)$, and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents the average over time windows of width $\Delta t$, and $N_p(t)$ denotes the number of packets in the network at time $t$. With the increase of packet generation rate $R$, there will be a critical value of $R_c$ which characterizes the phase transition from free flow to congestion. When $R < R_c$, $\Delta N_p = 0$ and $\eta(R) = 0$, it indicates that the network is in the free-flow state, while for $R > R_c$, $\eta(R) = 0$ is larger than zero, which indicates the system is in the congestion state.

To investigate the combined effect of the two parameters on the proposed routing strategy, $R_c$ under different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are shown in Fig. 1. It is found that there exists an optimal island in the parameter space $(\alpha, \beta)$ where $R_c$ reaches the highest value, indicating that the cooperation can be promoted by both the Euclidean distance and BC of edges. For example, when $\alpha = 0.5$, $R_c$ will reach the peak value for $\beta = 0.2$. Besides, for simplicity, the strategy based on Euclidean distance and betweenness centralities (EDBC) with the optimal combination, in this case $\alpha = 1.1$ and $\beta = 0.2$, is named as the EDBC routing strategy. Next, the simulation results of the SDP routing strategy, the MBC routing strategy and the EDBC routing strategy which reflect transportation efficiency will be described.

First, the simulation result of the critical packet generating rate $R_c$ under the three routing strategies is examined. It can be seen in Fig. 2(a) that $R_c$ of the EDBC routing strategy outperforms those of the other two strategies. It is 28 for the EDBC routing strategy, but 11 and 19 for the MBC routing strategy and the SDP routing strategy, respectively.

We also investigated the effects of the average node degree $\langle k \rangle$ and network size $N$ on the traffic capacity of a network. Figure 2(b) shows that $R_c$ increases almost linearly with the average degree $\langle k \rangle$. The rank of the network capacities is EDBC routing > SDP routing > MBC routing. In Fig. 2(c), $R_c$ also increases slightly with
the network size. Again, with the same average degree or network size, the networks
capacity under the EDBC routing strategy is the largest and that under MBC is the
smallest.

To better understand why the EDBC routing strategy can improve the network
capacity, we investigate the edge load distribution $n(e)$ and the node load distri-
bution $n(k)$ under the three routing strategies in the congestion state, as shown in
Fig. 3. Due to the spatial distance factor of edges, there are packets traveling along
each edge at each time-step. Edge load distribution $n(e)$ is defined by

$$n(e) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{max}_e} x_{ti}(e)}{\text{max}_e} \right) / T,$$

where $x_{ti}(e)$ is the number of packets at an edge with BC of $e$ at time-step $t$, and $\text{max}_e$ is the number of edges with BC of $e$. Figure 3(a) shows that under the shortest path routing strategy, $n(e)$ greatly increases as BC grows. That is because in the spatial network, edges with larger BC are more central and they are more likely to bear heavy traffic load. On the other hand, the MBC routing strategy causes heavy traffic load at the edges with the small value of BC via making the packets choose the path with the small value of BC. Whereas, considering both the Euclidean distance and BC of edges, the EDBC routing strategy can effectively balance traffic load among different links. The network capacity may be improved by making the effective use of all edges in the network to deliver packets. Figure 3(b) also demonstrates our conclusion by comparing the load distribution $n(k)$ under the routing strategies. It can be shown that in the congestion state the larger the degree of nodes is, the more crowded the nodes are.

Fig. 1. (Color online) The distribution of $R_C$ at different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. 
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Besides, the load of nodes under the EDBC routing strategy is usually the lightest compared with other routing strategies. In addition, Fig. 3(c) can further explain our conclusion by describing the relationship between the queue length and the number of nodes with the same queue length of \( r \) under the three routing strategies in the congestion state. \( Q_r \) indicates the number of nodes with the same queue length of \( r \) from time-step \( t_0 \) to \( T \), and is defined by

\[
Q_r = \sum_{j=t_0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{rij},
\]  

where

\[
\delta_{rij} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if queue length of node } i \text{ is } r \text{ at time } j, \\
0, & \text{else}.
\end{cases}
\]

Figure 3(c) shows that the maximum queue length caused by the EDBC routing strategy is the least, close to 11,000, compared with 120,000 and 80,000 caused by the
The SDP routing strategy and the MBC routing strategy respectively, which are much higher. Besides, we can conclude that both the SDP routing strategy and the MBC routing strategy could aggravate traffic load and result in serious congestion at some nodes, but the EDBC routing strategy can preferably balance traffic load and make the queue length among different nodes more even.

The average traveling time $\langle T \rangle$ which represents the traffic speed is a critical feature for traffic systems. For example, for the air traffic system, reducing the time cost is an important problem and thus it is an effective measure to decrease the average traveling time of flights. Figure 4(a) shows the relationship between $\langle T \rangle$ and the packet generating rate $R$ under the different routing strategies. Here, $\langle T \rangle$ can be denoted as $\langle T \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{arrive}}} t_i / N_{\text{arrive}}$, where $t_i$ is the traveling time of the arrived packet $i$, and $N_{\text{arrive}}$ is the number of arrived packets. Obviously, the smaller $\langle T \rangle$ is, the faster the traffic speed is. As Fig. 4(a) shows, in the free-flow state, packets can be freely delivered. However, $\langle T \rangle$ under the different routing strategies behaves much differently. $\langle T \rangle$ under the SDP routing strategy is the smallest with a value of 302, and that under the EDBC routing strategy is 331. However, the value of $\langle T \rangle$ under the MBC routing strategy reaches up to 956, which is much higher than that of other
two routing strategies. The SDP routing strategy makes packets choose the shortest path to destination. Hence, it has the least average traveling time. On the contrary, in order to avoid the crowded links, the MBC routing strategy prompts packets to select the paths with the minimum BC of edges, which is less crowded, but can cause the much large traveling distance. In the congestion state, $T$ dramatically increases when $R > R_c$, for packets have to wait in the buffer because of the limited delivery capability. Besides, $T$ under the MBC routing strategy is still the largest, however, that under the EDBC routing strategy becomes the smallest: e.g. when $R = 40$, $T$ under the MBC routing strategy and the SDP routing strategy is 1358 and 683 respectively, but only 442 under the EDBC routing strategy. Perhaps, it is because that, the SDP routing strategy causes extreme congestion and costs packets much more time to wait, but the EDBC routing strategy relieves congestion and makes packets travel faster.

The rate of waiting time to traveling time $P_{wt}$ is another critical feature for traffic systems to describe traffic efficiency and thus is an important index to depict user satisfaction. The less $P_{wt}$ is, the higher the user satisfaction is. For example, it may be tolerable for an airplane to be delayed by 10 min in its two h travel. However, it

![Graphs showing the relationship between average traveling time, number of arrived packets, and rate of waiting time to traveling time under different routing strategies.](image)
might be unacceptable if an airplane took a short flight. $P_{wt}$ can be denoted as

$$
P_{wt} = \frac{1}{N_{arrive}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{arrive}} \frac{w_i}{t_i},
$$

where $w_i$ is the waiting time of packet $i$ and $t_i$ is its total travel time. In Fig. 4(b), one can see that in the free-flow state, $P_{wt}$ under the different routing strategies are the same, and with the increment of $R$, it increases obviously. Besides, in the congestion state, $P_{wt}$ under the EDBC routing strategy keeps the minimum value. At the beginning, $P_{wt}$ under the SDP routing strategy is much smaller than that of the MBC routing strategy. However, as $R$ increases, $P_{wt}$ under the SDP routing strategy grows sharply. We can conclude that the network is most congested under the SDP routing strategy, and packets are severely delayed in the congested state.

The system throughput $N_{arrive}$ is the index denoting the total number of packets that are delivered to their terminals in a fixed time span. It indicates the delivery capability of the whole network. Figure 4(c) shows the relationship between $N_{arrive}$ and the packet generating rate $R$. In the free-flow state, all packets can successfully arrive at their destinations and $N_{arrive}(R) \approx T \times R$. However, in the congestion state, not all packets can arrive at their destination and thus $N_{arrive}(R) < T \times R$. It can be shown that the value of $N_{arrive}$ under the EDBC routing strategy is the largest compared with those under the two others.

We also show the results of the average actual path length versus the network size under the three routing strategies in Fig. 5. One can see that as $N$ grows the average actual path length under the three routing strategies increases. Moreover, the average actual path length under the EDBC strategy is slightly higher than that of the SDP strategy, but much smaller than that under the MBC strategy: e.g. for $N = 1225$, the average actual path length under the MBC strategy is 421, but only 307 and 305 under the EDBC strategy and the SDP strategy, respectively. Though, the average actual path length under the EDBC strategy is not the least, $R_c$ under the EDBC strategy is the highest. Such loss may be worthwhile, when a network requires large $R_c$.

Fig. 5. (Color online) The relationship between the average actual path length and the network size $N$ under the three routing strategies.
In the previous discussions, $C$ is a constant value. However, in many situations, $C$ is related to the degree of nodes $k$.\textsuperscript{34,35} Next, we consider the case when $C = k$ to test the robustness of the routing strategy. Figure 6 shows the results of the order parameter, average packets traveling time, average packets waiting time and system throughput under the three strategies. It can be shown that the EDBC routing strategy outperforms others in almost all aspects.

Next, we will investigate the network capacity and other traffic behaviors under different routing strategies with the bandwidth restriction. First, the results of $\lambda$ versus $\langle T \rangle$ under the three routing strategies when $R = 30$ are shown in Fig. 7. For simplicity, the optimal values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the proposed routing strategy are always assumed to be 1.1 and 0.2. We can find that in Fig. 7(a), as $\lambda$ decreases $R_c$ under the three routing strategies decreases. No matter what $\lambda$ is, the rank of the network capacities is still EDBC routing $>$ SDP routing $>$ MBC routing. Besides, in Fig. 7(b) we can see that as $\lambda$ increases, $\langle T \rangle$ under the three routing strategies sharply deceases, and then it will quickly reach the minimum. It is also shown that $\langle T \rangle$ under the EDBC strategy is the least, and that under the MBC strategy is the largest. Hence, we can conclude that the bandwidth restriction can aggravate congestion, and the smaller $\lambda$ is, the more serious the congestion will become. Besides, the EDBC

![Diagram](image-url)

Fig. 6. (Color online) The relationship between $\eta(R)$ (a), $\langle T \rangle$ (b), $N_{\text{arrive}}$ (c), $P_{\text{wt}}$ (d) and $R$, when $N = 1225$, $m = m_0 = 2$, $\gamma = -0.5$ and $C = k$ under the three routing strategies.
Fig. 7. (Color online) (a) The relationship between $\lambda$ and $R_c$ under the three routing strategies when $N = 1225$, $m = m_0 = 2$, $\gamma = -0.5$, $\alpha = 1.1$, $\beta = 0.2$ and $C = 5$. (b) The relationship between $\lambda$ and $T$ under the three routing strategies, when $N = 1225$, $m = m_0 = 2$, $\gamma = -0.5$, $\alpha = 1.1$, $\beta = 0.2$, $R = 30$ and $C = 5$.

Fig. 8. (Color online) The distribution of $R_C$ at different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, when $\lambda = 0.4$(a), $\lambda = 0.6$(b), $\lambda = 0.8$(c) and $\lambda = 1$(d).
routing strategy has the best performance compared with the other strategies even at the situation of very low bandwidth.

Then, we study the effect of bandwidth on the network capacity under the proposed routing strategy at different $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$. The distribution of $R_C$ at different combination of $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ for four cases is shown in Fig. 8. We can see that the optimal combination of $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ corresponding to the maximum capacity changes for different $C_{21}$. Moreover, as $C_{21}$ increases $C_{11}$ increases and $C_{12}$ is almost kept the same. It can be concluded that not only bandwidth causes the variation of the optimal local routing coefficient $C_{11}$, but also it can induce the variation of the optimal parameters of the proposed global routing strategy.

Then, to better understand why bandwidth can trigger network congestion, we investigate the relationship between the average number of four types of package and $R$ for different $C_{21}$ over $T$ time-step under the EDBC routing strategy in Fig. 9. Figure 9(a) shows the simulation result of the average number of packages arrived at their destinations $PA$. We can conclude that as $C_{21}$ increases, more packages can reach their destinations. It can be seen from Fig. 9(b) that the average number of packages have not arrived at their destinations $PNA$ sharply grows as bandwidth decreases. Besides, the simulation results of the average number of packages in buffers $PB$ is

Fig. 9. (Color online) The relationship between the average number of packages arrived at their destinations (a), the average number of packages not arrived at their destinations (b), the average number of packages in the buffer (c), the average number of packages in links (d), and $R$ for different $\lambda$ under the EDBC routing strategy, when $N = 1225$, $m = m_0 = 2$, $\gamma = -0.5$ and $C = 5$. 
described in Fig. 9(c). And we can see that the smaller $\lambda$ is, the more packages will wait in buffers. Moreover, the average number of packages in links PL is obviously proportional to bandwidth and it can be seen from Fig. 9(d). In the end, we can conclude that as $\lambda$ decreases, more packages cannot be delivered to links, so they are delayed in buffers and finally left in the network which aggravates congestion.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, traffic dynamics on a spatial scale-free network has been considered with the restriction of bandwidth proportional to links’ Euclidean distance. Besides, an efficient routing strategy that considers both the Euclidean distance and BC of edges has been proposed. It is found that the network capacity is affected by the bandwidth restriction, and compared with the SDP routing strategy and MBC of links strategy, our strategy with certain parameters can effectively balance the traffic load and avoid severe traveling distance no matter what the bandwidth is. It can also effectively improve the spatial network capacity and system behaviors which reflect transportation efficiency, such as the average packets traveling time, average packets waiting time, system throughput, traffic load and so on. Simulation results have demonstrated the performance of the proposed routing strategy. These results provide insights for research on real networked traffic systems.
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