# Accomplishing a request without making one: A single case analysis of a primary care visit

VIRGINIA TEAS GILL, TIMOTHY HALKOWSKI, and FELICIA ROBERTS

#### stract

Physicians and other care-givers need to recognize the various and often subtle ways that patients make initiatives, such as requesting medical interventions, in medical encounters. Prior research on patients' requests and physicians' responses has limited real-world relevance because it treats 'requesting' and 'responding' as straightforward, discretely codable categories. In this study, we use conversation analysis to investigate how a primary care patient delicately hints that an HIV test is warranted and how her physician recognizes (and responds to) her implicit request for this diagnostic test. Our findings provide an empirically grounded and detailed account of some of the subtle interactional dynamics involved in making and responding to medical requests. By documenting the diversity of patients' and physicians' practices, we will gain a more comprehensive understanding of patients' initiatives, physicians' responsiveness, and patient-centered behavior.

Keywords: conversation analysis; physician-patient interaction; patients' requests; patients' questions; HIV testing.

#### Introduction

At a time of increased interest in patient-centered medicine, more attention is being directed to patients' participation in and satisfaction with their health care. To these ends, investigators have approached the difficult-problem of understanding the relationship between patient needs and physician responsiveness by administering pre- and/or post-visit surveys of both parties' expectations and perceptions of clinic visits (Britten and Okoumunne 1997; Butler et al. 1998; Cockborn and Pit 1997; Eisenthal and Lazare 1976; Eisenthal et al. 1990; Froehlich and Welch 1996; Good et al. 1983; Joos et al. 1993; Kravitz et al. 1994;

Macfarlane et al. 1997; Mangione-Smith et al. 1999; Peyrot et al. 1998).

essentially binary categories: request or absence of request, the granting and Zyzanski 1987), the relevant phenomena are conceptualized as satisfaction (Brody et al. 1989; Joos et al. 1993; Kravitz et al. 1994; Like tigate the relationship between physician responsiveness and patient if expectations are made manifest. Additionally, in the studies that invesit possible to know the specific, detailed ways that physicians respond patients actually express their expectations during clinic visits, nor is However, it has not been possible, as yet, to determine whether and how

a delicate activity, a finding that fits with emerging understandings of matters such as patient satisfaction. Equally important, it shows how firmer foundation upon which to conceptualize their relationship to a more nuanced understanding of request/response sequences, and a patients orient to initiative taking (e.g., requesting medical action) as physician. Such attention to requesting as an emergent activity gives request emerges over time and how it is responded to as such by a that has been missing in prior research. We show how a patient's implicit and displayed orientations. It attempts to fill in the interactional detail Our analysis of 'a request' is thus bounded by participant actions

work out in interaction.

series of activities amount to a request is a matter that the participants subtlety and complexity of social interaction; whether or not an activity or or refusal of a request. Such an approach cannot take account of the

explanations on the table by reporting circumstances in particular sequena symptom on the table in such a way that they are seen as observing their to appear; Heath 1992; ten Have 1991). Thus, patients manage to put actions, such as reporting (see also Stivers, to appear). (e.g., 'explaining to the expert') are accomplished through more routine Gill and Maynard, to appear). In this way, potentially delicate actions tial contexts and allowing doctors to formulate the upshot (Gill 1995, 1997; bodies in 'appropriate' detail (Halkowski, to appear), and they can put than over or under vigilant (Drew 1991; Gill 1995, 1997, 1998; Halkowski, or show that they are appropriately attentive to bodily symptoms rather patients may downplay their knowledge about candidate causes for illness use a variety of methods to show themselves to be appropriately oriented to 'lay' versus 'professional' knowledge and activities; for example, related to prior research detailing how, in primary care visits, patients That patients may formulate their initiatives as delicate activities is

are relevant only in the context of some suspected health problem or risk factor: a candidate health issue must be available to which the intervention Furthermore, requests for medical action (such as diagnostic testing)

> typically 'doctor's work', territory in which patients tread lightly. ing for an action on the doctor's part (by making a request) is a delicate is addressed. Thus, for a patient to request an intervention may entail matter in part because it may also involve these other activities that are making a candidate diagnosis or proposing a level of risk. In short, call-

preliminarily avoid some disclosure (Sacks 1995, vol. 2: 413).<sup>2</sup> 72-80), or 'stating your own name' as a way of getting someone else's utterance does not convey its literal meaning, yet accomplishes someof achieving interactional outcomes, where the surface form of the phenomenon of 'getting something done without "doing" it' in terms outright (although tacit refusals are still possible). Sacks addresses this it seems that requests fall under the rubric of actions best entered into such an orientation is not surprising where even in everyday interaction (Sacks 1995, vol. 1: 3-11), or using a repair initiator (e.g., What?) to thing: 'requesting help' by asking for information (e.g., Sacks 1995, vol. 1: from an angle: if one does not actually ask, one can avoid being refused While the delicacy of requesting in medical encounters may be salient,

awkward if not face-threatening prospect of being refused. Patients and a problem; on the other hand, to request is to open the door to the case study of a primary care clinic visit. which, on the face of it, is not such a sequence. We examine this in a cal coproduction and organization of a request/response sequence dilemmas. Here, we use conversation analysis to document the methodidoctors draw on resources of everyday talk to maneuver through these determination of a candidate health problem or some level of risk for On the one hand, in medical encounters, to request presupposes some Thus, we see oblique requests as addressing two simultaneous matters:

a 'request' that was never made? of concern. How is this physician's plan of action to be accounted for? eventually articulated by the physician is to check some blood counts and What sequence of activities leads to this physician's 'response' to HIV test nor asks the doctor for reassurance, yet the plan of action thus 'reassure' the patient and himself that AIDS need not be a cause In the visit analyzed here, a middle-aged woman neither requests an

tested for AIDS'). These circumstances (she had blood transfusions in AIDS?') and takes the opportunity space to answer it ('No, I never got ticular fact on the table. She subsequently designs an utterance which is the concern as her children's, not her own, while still getting that parchildren: She had blood transfusions in the early 1980s. She thus casts in an oblique way; she reports a fact that had always worried her framed as a question from her children ('Did you ever get tested for To begin with, the patient in this visit raises her concern about AIDS

without appearing overly concerned. way her contributions are sequentially designed to get facts on the table it is her 'kids' 'worries that are reported and need to be addressed; in this request is actually made. Rather, she designs her contributions such that warrant medical action, but she presents them in such a way that no the early 1980s and she has never been tested for AIDS) may indeed

symptoms, the physician upgrades the doctorability of her concerns and parenthetically remarks that by looking at some blood fractions he will and the patient displays persistent 'ignorance' about the cause of her cern lightly. However, later in the visit, once a set of symptoms is raised agrees with his assessment, still maintaining a stance of taking the conformulation about the unlikelihood of her being infected. The patient he treats her contribution as a bid for reassurance, providing a statistical 'reassure' himself and her that she does not have AIDS. The doctor and patient 'chuckle together' over this issue of AIDS and

a request for an HIV test (or even a blood test). As our analysis details, the subtlety is accomplished over the course of a long sequence of a single event such as 'a request'. By documenting the complexity and conversationalists, use sequencing as a sense-making resource. The releutterances, and is made possible because patients and doctors, like all it means to be 'patient-centered' and 'responsive' to patients' initiatives: diversity of actual practices, we aim to develop a clearer sense of what vance for the diagnostic test is built over time, rather than occurring as though his response is more subtle than simply 'granting' or 'refusing' Thus, the physician is responsive to the patient's concern about AIDS

#### Data and methods

not whether these are typical methods or practices in doctor-patient delicacy in requesting and responding. particular case (Schegloff 1987) and to explore in detail the practice of interaction, but rather, it is to show the endogenous organization of this clusion that the concern was not adequately addressed). Our concern is (initially and after subsequent talk that apparently leads him to the conresources the physician employs to propose how it should be dealt with to raise the possibility that she has a serious health condition, and the tion analysis, we describe the conversational resources the patient uses transcribed according to Jefferson's (1974) conventions. Using conversain the Midwestern United States.3 The videotape of the clinic visit was medicine outpatient clinic. The clinic is located in a teaching hospital videotaped clinic visits collected in the late 1980s in a general internal This article focuses on a videotaped clinic visit, one out of a corpus of

#### Background

patient, embodies readiness to deal with the patient's concerns, and then opens with a set of activities characteristic of primary care visit openings with her new physician, a younger white male physician. The interview initiates those concerns by asking her, 'how are things going for you (Robinson 1998). The physician reviews the patient's records, greets the The patient, a 59-year-old white female, is having her first appointment

- (1) [Migraine Trouble 1]
- Dr: Well: uhm: (.) tch how are things going for you
- Ah:: good. I think- eah (.) pretty good.

she takes for them. plaint, the migraines, beginning with a question about what medication and tiredness. The physician begins a series of queries about her first comthree health problems: migraine headaches, 'problems' with her bowels, come for a routine physical and mammogram, and she is experiencing problems or concerns. She then produces her reason for the visit. She has The patient's reply (line 3) projects the introduction of some health

- 2 [Migraine trouble 3]
- Well now- Let's see- so mig- an' for thuh migraines
- what do you take for them.
- .hhh Ah:: = hhhhh Really not- I'm- my husband has
- hu huh huh (not) been using his an' it really works
- pretty good. .hh He has some = uh: uh: eight hunded-
- uh what is it grams er millfigrams of ibuprofen.
- [Ib- Ibuproten

D:

concerns at the beginning of the visit, before questioning her about the faces another. Even if her physician were to have elicited additional and Frankel 1984: 694). This patient faces this problem, but she also environment in which to raise them during the clinic visit (Beckman she then faces the 'practical problem' of finding another appropriate is to answer the question (which this patient does; she replies that she a patient initially expresses, the appropriate response for the patient immediately initiates a specific, close-ended question about a concern However, if the patient has additional concerns or information to share, borrows her husband's prescription for ibuprophen, lines 3 to 6). As Beckman and Frankel (1984) have observed, when a doctor

condition (Halkowski, to appear).4 looking' for a problem, nor too willing to suspect that one has a dramatic appearance of being a 'reasonable' patient: i.e., one who is not 'out the possibility of a serious problem (AIDS) while maintaining the ones she initially raised, she faces the dilemma of where and how to raise

such a serious health concern by capitalizing upon the physician's use of the patient's written health history form during the history taking.<sup>5</sup> nor presented in the opening moments of the visit. environment for the patient to raise two additional concerns related to form, and their subsequent discussion about it, provides an auspicious the surgery—ones that she neither noted on the health history form The physician's reference to a surgery that the patient had noted on the In the present visit, the patient handles the dilemma of where to raise

neither raised at the beginning of the visit nor on the health history will focus on these activities, and the physician's response. 'very good' and then she takes the opportunity to report a concern she years before, in 1983.6 When the physician asks her how her bladder notes that the patient had a hysterectomy and bladder repair several provide only a brief sketch of the first, as follows. After querying the emerge from the physician's reference to the health history form. We form: sexual intercourse has been painful since the surgery. function has been since the surgery, the patient replies that it has been been experiencing, the physician refers to the health history form and patient about her migraines and then about an ear problem she has Our analysis will focus on the second of the two concerns that

went back to see him (see line 1 in Excerpt 3). Then, she provides a negative assessment of the 'continuum' of care provided by 'these health to another state and then she switched insurance plans, so she never having the 'old family doctor' (lines 10 to 11). plans' (lines 2 to 3, and 5), and contrasts this current system of care with to the surgeon who performed the operation, she reports that he moved When the physician asks her whether she ever mentioned this problem

[Migraine Trouble 13]

P Dr. => with so many changes < in-Not like ha:ving thee old So I haven't been back there a:tall, I just I think [ah: ] with uh:: .hh > ya know <= Hm hm? tch = okay. We:ll that- that is uh problem uh these health pla:ns I feel ya know, .hh that's thuh problem with some (0.5) the continuum (y'd) like to see. Lad W >Hm hm <

> sustain this auspicious environment and raise the concern. Our analysis close the hysterectomy topic (lines 16 to 17), the patient works to attention after the hysterectomy. When the physician makes a move to this physician is likely to treat her, but would also constitute a kind receive an attentive response to—a second concern that never received patient establishes a favorable environment in which to raise—and to 7), and then portrays the matter as one that affects everyone (as would provide. The physician provides an agreeing assessment (lines 6 assessment, which if given would provide not only evidence of how regard to the need for closer follow-up and resolution of problems 11 12 14 (lines 12, 14 to 15). In procuring some alignment from the physician, the perhaps a doctor's as well as a patient's problem) and as not 'news' to him (Pomerantz 1984b). Moreover, it is built for the physician's agreeing Her assessment bids for the physician to express his own position in of agreement to provide the type of care that 'thee old family doctor' 16 17 look - < (0.5)one ah the changes: (.) we've a:ll had to adjust to .hh Alright uh- hu- let's see- So: = uh > l:emme just That's: [that's ah:: family doctor. Heh heh heh .hhh

### Analysis: Part 1—Broaching a serious candidate health problem and intervention

she implies that an HIV test could be warranted. The methods she uses AIDS, as a result of a blood transfusion during the hysterectomy, and of presenting a candidate health problem and inferring that a diagnostic risk of having contracted an HIV infection from the transfusion and ing the possibility of AIDS she may be heard to be over-estimating her ownership of the concern; and (4) using a question to warrant an answer. ment; (2) testing the waters by reporting a circumstance; (3) avoiding to raise this issue are (1) downplaying urgency through delayed place-In excerpt (4a), the patient raises the possibility that she could have test could be warranted are accomplished with great delicacy. to appear). Her approach also deftly handles the possibility that she could thus, may appear to be 'out looking' for a dramatic illness (Halkowski, that the physician would refuse such a request. Overall, the activities be heard to be requesting an unnecessary medical intervention, and These methods both display and address the patient's dilemma: in rais-

## Putting the concern on the table

able to view her expression with his peripheral vision. At line 18, her not be visible to him, her 'smile voice' is audible in line 18 and he may be initiates a story preface ('One uh:: thuh things that's always worried my health history form until arrow (b), and the patient's facial expression may the doctor's pre-closing moves. Although the doctor is gazing at the ture, the patient begins a turn that bids to sustain the hysterectomy topic the form over and looks at the other side. At this projected activity juncpatient's health history form, and right before '>1:emme' (line 16) he flips look-<(0.5)' (see Beach 1995). He is simultaneously gazing at the patient's history, with '.hh Alright uh-hu-let's see-So:-uh >1:emme just hysterectomy topic and proposes to resume the activity of taking the In lines 16 and 17, (Excerpt 4a), the physician initiates closure of the for—the worrisome matter. kids-'), bidding for a slot to produce—and inviting the doctor to listen face still maintaining the same—almost frozen—expression, the patient the same facial expression (a smile) from her chuckle at arrow (a) through (line 18). Nonverbally, she also bids to hold the prior topic by maintaining

```
20
                                                                                            17
                                                                                                                16
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             (4a)
                                                 19
                                                                     18
                                                                                                                                      15
                                                                                                                                                           14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             [Migraine Trouble 13]
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Dr: That's: [that'.s ah::
                                                                   One uh thuh things that's always worried my kids-
.hh uh:: about that I (ws-) also had blood
                                                                                       look - < (0.5)
                                                                                                                                                      one ah the changes: (.) we've a: Il had to adjust to
                                                                                                              .hh Alright uh- hu- let's see- So: = uh > l:emme just
                                         look through some things here
                                                                                                                                                                                Heh heh heh .hhh
```

23 transfusions "when I had (.) thee hysterec tomy."

25 26 27 22  $\downarrow(d) \qquad \downarrow(e) \qquad \downarrow(f) \qquad \downarrow(g)$ "> An they said < " did you ever get tested for AIDS y(h)a [kn(h)ow?] .hh [.hh Didju ever get tested fe(h)r A(h)I(h)DS

We II? No:? I never got teste(h)d for AI::DS y(h)a kno:w,

('.hh uh:: about that'), the doctor's and patient's activities are in comshe indicates that the worrisome matter is related to the hysterectomy at line 20. Thus, although the patient has the floor at line 20, where petition until arrow (b), when the doctor moves his gaze from the health The doctor continues his activity of viewing the form until arrow (b), initially projects to be) the worrisome matter. history form and onto the patient, as she begins to produce (what she

appearing excessively concerned. (See Robinson [1999: 424] on topicalizconcern, she downplays its urgency. This may decrease the risk of and inquired about the hysterectomy. By delaying placement of the ing a concern early in a clinic visit, as a way to cast it as urgent or (Beckman and Frankel 1984), the patient did have an opportunity to dissatisfaction with the continuity of care), to an environment where raise it when the physician first referred to the health history form the patient's opportunities for raising this additional concern early on physician's initiation of symptom-related queries may well have limited patient pushes its introduction to the very back of this topic (after the doctor is initiating topic closure. Although as noted earlier, the first expressing her concern about the pain on intercourse and her This concern emerges in the middle of the clinic visit. Moreover, the 1. Downplaying the urgency of the concern through delayed placement

# Testing the waters by reporting a circumstance

or whether medical intervention (such as diagnostic testing) might now she hands him the opportunity to formulate its upshot at the transitiontransfusion. As Drew (1984: 147) has observed, interpreting the diagnostic and intervention-related implications of the actually perform the activity of formulating the upshot herselfbe in order. She thus 'tests the waters' for his reaction, without having to patient proceeds cautiously by reporting a circumstance (Drew 1984). In transfusion, such as how or whether it might be affecting her health, and/ to produce (or show recognition of) any medical implications of the relevant point at the end of line 21. That is, she gives him the chance the hysterectomy. By merely informing the doctor of this circumstance, lines 20 and 21, she reports that she had blood transfusions when she had Having invited the physician to listen for the worrisome matter, the

option of subsequently revising their position in the light of the other's initial stand firm or hold out on some matter, but without any of these activities having ther reporting ... Thus participants may negotiate positions, make concessions, reaction, through any such revision may itself be done implicitly through furthey thereby avoid taking an official position, speakers leave themselves the what they do in response to a position implied through the reporting. But because Reportings can enable speakers to test recipients' likely reactions, by finding been done officially.

upshot, responding with continuers ('Mm hm? Mm hm') and allowing the arrow (c), punctuating the micro-pause. The doctor declines to produce an apparent concern, via a facial expression that is markedly serious when he delicate or sensitive activity for her to engage in (Drew 1984; Gill 1995, circumstances, and marks the activity of producing the upshot as a patient to formulate what, exactly, is worrisome about the transfusions. becomes serious, and she raises her eyebrows high and lowers her head at meets her gaze at arrow (b) in Excerpt 4a. The patient's expression then 1997; Pomerantz 1980, 1984a).8 The physician meets the reporting with Accordingly, the patient takes on the role of a reporter of events and

## Avoiding ownership of the concern

at arrow (a) in line 13. She thereby distances herself from her childrens' and as already noted, at line 18 she is still holding the smile that began story preface in line 18, she avoids ownership of the concern by attriworries, rather than displaying a neutral position. buting the worry to a third party, her children (Clayman 1988; Drew tion, she does not take a neutral stance in regard to the concern. In the Although the patient cautiously tests the waters for the doctor's reacbids for it to be interpreted as their concern rather than hers. In addition, 1991; Gill 1998; Heritage, to appear a; Pomerantz 1984a). Thus, she

of her displaying an inability to suppress her own "amused" reaction crinkles her eyes, bringing up the corners of her mouth. The effect is one arrow (d) in Excerpt (4a), she begins to furrow her brow in apparent a change in her facial expression through which she mimmicks the marks this shift to reported speech with ">An they said < ", and with employed in risky or delicate moments to disown actions (Beach 1996, imitation of the children, and at (e) she pushes her brow forward and urgency of the children's inquiry and displays a reaction toward it: at voice of her children asking her, 'did you ever get tested for AIDS'. She to appear). At line 24 she shifts footing (Goffman 1981) and takes on the provides the basis for the children's concern about the transfusions. At this point, she also employs reported speech, another resource commonly In lines 24 to 26, following the doctor's continuers, the patient

> the matter on the table in this clinic visit. and only reporting a worry they had expressed to her, while still putting makes herself out, in contrast to the children, as personally unconcerned portrays her children as insistent, perhaps excessively so. She thus toward the children's worries. By repeating their query at line 25, she

with the doctor's light take. She also marks that this is a delicate and she further distances herself from her children's worried stance, and aligns in 'fe(h)r A(h)I(h)DS y(h)a kn(h)ow?'. Through the smile and laughter, comes out and fully emerges by arrow (h), and she inserts laughter tokens with his reaction. After the doctor smiles at arrow (f), the patient's smile nition of where the patient is headed and provides initial evidence that is, like a visual 'change of state token' (Heritage 1984) it displays recoginvitation for him to laugh along. with Haakana's observations, the doctor does not treat her laughter as an "good" and "reasonable" patient is like' (Haakana, this issue). Consistent that the matter she has raised is 'discrepant with ... expectations of what a interactionally problematic matter, and thus shows herself to be aware ing further evidence that she was 'testing the waters', the patient aligns he will treat this concern about AIDS lightly or as unwarranted. Provid-At arrow (f), the doctor smiles. The smile is one of recognition; that

returning his focus to the form. also solicits his continued involvement at a time when he appears to be he is looking at the form. The 'y(h)a kn(h)ow?' with upward intonation is that it is a resource to hearably convey her alignment with him while sible interactional function of the patient's laughter at lines 25 and 26 from the patient back to the health history form. Thus, another pos-Also important is the fact that at arrow (g) the doctor's gaze moves

as well as with 'y(h)a kn(h)ow?'). Either contingency would provide justifiquestion they put to her: 'Did you ever get tested for AIDS?' She thus 4. Using a question to establish the 'conditional relevance' of an answer cation for her to offer the answer, and thus to offer—in a very delicate to invite with the elongated opportunity space in 'A(h)I(h)DS' in line 25, doctor to indicate his desire to hear the answer (an inquiry she appears tion and indicate whether she was ever tested, or (2) she provides for the (1) provides herself with an interactional warrant to answer the ques-Note that the patient reports not just what her children said, but a manner—information that otherwise might be difficult to put on the table.

By packaging this reported fact as 'an answer to a question', it can smiling, 'No:? I never got teste(h)d for AI::DS y(h)a kno:w', (line 29). doctor's 'Well?' (line 28) which appears to invite her answer. She reports, The patient self-selects to answer the question, in overlap with the

as an 'answer', and leave open rather than specify what activity is required possibly doctor-relevant information on the table while being packaged does not ask. The reporting vehicle is flexible, in that it can put sensitive, declined or ignored (see Schegloff and Sacks 1973, and also Gill 1998). She a request makes relevant: to grant or decline the request. Therefore, it does request for a test. 10 It does not constrain the doctor to perform the activity established risk or at least her children's fear of risk (the transfusions). The from the doctor in return. not expose the patient to the possibility that 'a request' will be hearably report thus implies that a test may be warranted but it is not an overt has never had one), and it is provided in the context of her having one of the necessary contingencies for an HIV test to be ordered (she to the doctor in terms of the activity it calls for, in return. It establishes information solely of her own volition), but also as less constraining come off not only as unmotivated (she is being asked, thus she is following the requirements of the adjacency-pair format and not offering the

#### The physician's response

related aspects of the transfusion, she retreats to confirming what she circumstance in lines 20 and 21 in Excerpt (4a), but it is also different in this time treating it more lightly and in line with the doctor's evident knows first hand and for certain-she did have blood transfusions-but having broached the delicate territory of the diagnostic and interventionthat it confirms the circumstance (via the stress on 'did', line 31). After the initial, more serious stance she had displayed while reporting this did thuh hysterectomy .hhh hh heh huh .h' This represents a revision of laughter tokens: '.HHH but = uh did have blood transfusions when they the initial circumstance she reported, but this time smiling and adding at lines 25, 26, and 29, the patient draws back further. She reiterates cool, and having aligned with his light take with her smiles and laughter her health history. Having tested the waters and having found them reporting and is turning his attention back to the activity of gathering thus provides evidence that he takes lightly the circumstance she is 29 he is smiling and slowly shakes his head, still gazing at the form. He history form in line 24 (arrows a and b, Excerpt 4b). At arrow (c) at line As already noted, the doctor smiles and returns his gaze to the health

doctor addresses the probability of her having the candidate health consorts, but no more. At line 34, still gazing at this health history form, the dition, AIDS. He downplays both the number of people who have been The doctor treats these circumstances as requiring reassurance of

> declining per se to order a test. His gaze returns to the form at arrow (f), state of affairs he obviates the need for concern or testing without either concern or medical intervention; essentially, by citing an (apparent) alright'. He thus resists the implication that her circumstance warrants a visual indication of the large percentage of people who 'have done among the healthy. He looks at the patient at arrow (d) and at (e) raises infected by transfusions and characterizes the patient as, apparently, line 35, and it remains there for the rest of the excerpt. his right hand in the air, shaking it slightly, and then raises it higher in

additional agreement, 'I think so'. a health concern that, it is now clear, the doctor does not consider to be then overlapping as the doctor projectably starts a new topic, with an line 36, and at line 38 provides a soft agreement token, 'Uh:: huh?', and justified nor in need of any further attention. She also nods, starting at 36 and 38), as it again marks having performed a delicate activity—raising The patient's long spate of overlapping laughter is notable here (lines

41 (<del>4</del>) 40 37 35 34 31 30 [Migraine Trouble 13] D. Dr. Dr: Dr: Dr I see- you have- th:ree children? ((looking at We [∐? I ] think you were: am- among thuh ninety nine (.) >An they said < did you ever get tested for AIDS y(h)a kn(h)ow? .hh Uh: I seedone alright. plu s: percent that s::eems to havedid thuh hysterectomy .hhh hh heh huh .h .HHH but = uh did have blood transfusions when they Didju ever get tested fe(h)r A(h)I(h)DS heh huhh JUh:: huh? No:? I never got teste(h)d for AI::DS [y(h)a] kno:w, .hhh heh heh heh heh heh heh I think so.

- 42 patient's health history form))
- 43 Pt: Ye:s

The doctor restarts his turn in line 41, referencing, for her confirmation, the number of children she has, and pointing to the health history form at arrow (g). She confirms (line 43) and the doctor continues gathering information on additional aspects of her life circumstances and health history.

In summary, the patient has very delicately hinted at the possibility that she has a candidate health condition (AIDS) and that diagnostic testing may be warranted. Having tested the waters for the doctor's position, and obtaining evidence that he treats the situation lightly, she aligns with his stance. He treats her circumstances as requiring no further attention, but only reassurance that a miniscule number of people were actually infected by transfusions. However, the topic of AIDS re-emerges later in the clinic visit, when the patient reports experiencing a new, unaccountable symptom. The doctor then proposes a course of action that is primarily designed to investigate other symptoms she is experiencing, but which will additionally serve to 'reassure' him and the patient that she does not have AIDS.

# Analysis: Part 2—Reporting a new, mysterious symptom

The next excerpt occurs later in the history-taking stage of the same medical interview. Just prior to this excerpt, the physician referred back to the patient's health history form, noting that she had mentioned feeling fatigued. The patient tacitly attributes the fatigue to 'burning the candle at both ends all the time', thereby displaying herself to be looking for a 'benign' explanation first (Halkowski, to appear; Sacks 1984). The physician exhibits troubles receptiveness, proposing to look for 'underlying causes' for her fatigue.

The patient takes this opportunity to report that she has been experiencing chest pains, and the physician begins a course of questioning about these pains.

```
(5) [Migraine trouble 20]
```

- Dr: Any sweating or nausea "with that?"
- 2 Pt: No-not with that,
- 3 Pt: Thee only (f) time I get sweating an 'nau:sea' is
- at-when I wake up at onight.
- 5 (0.8)
- Not nausea so much but all of uh sudden
- 7 I'll be just H:: (0.8) H::ot as h:ot can be.

```
34
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       29
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           10
                                                                              Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                 P. D.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               Dr
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               Pt:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                Dr:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 >Mm hm <
things like your white blood count,
                                     what we'll do: is check some: (.) blood tests.
                   S::ome > some < screening tests. N' they will inclu:de
                                                                                                                  I never get 'em any other time.
                                                                                                                                       I'm fine an (n)=I never get 'em during thuh da:y,
                                                                                                                                                          pretty soon I get cold an cover all up? an then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (0.8)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                Mm.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  (0.5)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  OH::: about: = uh::w:: couple months ago
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         start for you.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        =when did these hot 'n co:ld spells seem tah
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  Wi- when did- when did this- =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     Nothing.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Nothing.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           Okay when- when you ha:d >let's see-< didju ever
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     But I don't do that during thuh | day at all.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              >Mm hm <
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  =An' then I'll get freal cold.
                                                                                                                                                                                                Awri:ght = we::ll?
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  = "Yeah."
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    I don't \text{\text{know.}=}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (At all?)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 >Nope <
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     have any = uh hot f:lashes: (around) | thuh menopause?
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           Hm=
                                                                           .hh In- in eva:lua ting you at this visit (.)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          Don't know.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     Uh– any– what– w– what ↑causes 'em.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             Never do that during thuh \day.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   [°Kay°]
                                                                                                                                                                             I just uncover for uh while? an' then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  EVer.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                >Nope<
```

### 70 Virginia Teas Gill, Timothy Halkowski, and Felicia Roberts

60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50
Pt:		Dr:	Dr:	Pt:			Dr:	Pt:		D:
Im hm?	fati:gue, check your thyroi[d	.hh But we'll also look at some possible causes for	that that's not uh problem.	Heh heh heh .hh	uh reassure me an: yerself that that-	white blood count fractions that'll el- (.) I think	= blood count yer some- (.) ah some uh thee: uh (.)	Huh huh huh huh .hh	AI:DS in fact uh: .hh we'll uh when I check your=	.hh ah:w I don't thin:k: (.) we need tuh worry about

## Putting the symptom on the table

the concern about AIDS (which she attributed to her children), and to prepare the way for the physician to treat the patient as re-invoking attempted causal attribution and its blocking; (3) claimed causal agnosaddress that concern in a particular manner. ticism; and (4) treating the symptom as a 'delicate'. These four activities that the parties are engaged in: (1) 'funneling the symptom'; (2) an In the discussion of this data segment, we will focus on four activities

#### Funneling the symptom

a time and instead ties the symptom to a course of action. By doing this, when I wake up ...'), the patient shifts from simply tying the symptom to merely occur at night, it wakes her up. is at-when I wake up at night' (lines 3 to 4). Via her self-repair ('is atsymptoms to one specific 'time' ('the only time I get sweating and nausea and 'nausea' with the chest pains (line 1). The patient disavows experiencaccomplished as follows. The doctor asks her if she experiences 'sweating' of a very specific symptom: sweating at night. The transformation is symptoms the physician mentioned (sweating and nausea) into a report Through a series of moves, the patient progressively transforms the the patient characterizes the symptom as more significant. It doesn't the symptoms from the chest pain. She then proceeds to connect the ing any sweating or nausea with the chest pain (line 2), thus separating

emphasizes that this doesn't occur during the day 'at all' (lines 15), and as feeling very hot and then very cold (lines 6 to 7, and 11). She then and through a course of action description characterizes her symptom then emphasizes this timing ('never', line 17). In her next utterance she excludes the symptom of nausea (line 6),

> only occur at night (never during the day), and wake her up. action. She has thus transformed what they were talking about from (chest pain), and connected it to a specific time of day and course of the symptom from the original problem the doctor was investigating 'sweating or nausea with that [chest pain]', to sweating and nausea that Note that in this short spate of talk, the patient has disconnected

# An attempted causal attribution and its blocking

The doctor's question (lines 18 and 19), may anticipate the patient's resistance to a forthcoming causal theory. 'Okay when when you ha:d' question, 'let's see- didju ever have any = uh hot flashes' (lines 18 to 19). sometimes wake you up at night?'). But the doctor self-repairs his experience (e.g., 'When you had hot flashes during menopause did they question would allow him to tie the current symptom to that prior may be leading up to 'when you had hot flashes during menopause'. This he explicitly proposes this theory. to inquire into the basis for the causal theory he is developing, before This reformulation of the question into a pre-sequence allows the doctor

about her experience with 'hot flashes' during menopause, she emphatturn completion point (following 'f:lashes:', line 19), thereby hearably ically disavows experiencing them; she utters '> Nope <' at the earliest were something she never experienced ('EVer' line 26). with the doctor's query in line 25, she underscores that hot flashes tion, confirms with 'Nothing' at line 24. Then, in interruptive overlap following the physician's turn, and overlapping his request for confirmaheading off' this causal theory. She repeats this at line 21, immediately But when the physician raises this candidate explanation by asking

accounted for by menopause. discards or exclusions 'funnels' them into this specific symptom: hot feelings that only occur at night, (never during the day), which cannot be (in the context of exploring the chest pains), and through a series of Thus, she takes the two candidate symptoms the physician mentioned

# The patient's claimed causal agnosticism

to 'what'. Via this shift, the doctor displays himself as suspecting that 'Any ideas about what causes them?'), but cuts off the 'any' and shifts starts the question with 'any' (presumably leading up to something like 'Uh- any- what- w- what \(\frac{1}{2}\)causes 'em' (line 33). Note that the doctor similarities to his prior question about 'hot flashes'. The doctor asks At line 33 the doctor asks a question which has some interesting formal the patient is holding a particular causal theory.

respond to the question without owning a particular causal theory topic of their conversation. The patient's 'I don't knows' allow her to yet allow her to add other observations that implicate a causal theory (thereby preventing her theory from being commented on explicitly), it, explain why it is unlikely, etc.). Her theory would become an explicit patient were to express a causal theory here, she would reasonably expect sequences (see also Drew, to appear, and Sacks 1995, vol. 1:7). If the do in ordinary conversation, and other realms of talk-in-interaction. discussed in detail the work that repeated 'I don't knows' can be used to that the doctor would address that theory (i.e., agree with it, disagree with Their analysis indicates that they can temporarily stall other-initiated ... Don't know' (lines 34 and 37). Beach and Metzger (1997) have The patient responds by asserting an agnostic stance: 'I don't \( \) know

and sensations (Halkowski, to appear; cf. Sacks 1984). Then the patient is a medically relevant problem. This is a way that patients demonstrate tom 'during the day' or at 'any other time', thereby underscoring tha takes yet another opportunity to declare that she never gets the sympto simply cope with the problem, rather than immediately assume that it simply uncovering when she's hot, which results in her feeling 'fine'. Via symptom (lines 40 to 42). She reports taking a pragmatic approach of of action sequence to describe what she does when she experiences the doctor's pre-closing moves (line 40). There are two significant aspects to this is sweating at night. themselves to be competent perceivers (and reporters) of their bodily states this part of her turn, the patient portrays herself as one who attempts this turn of talk (lines 40 to 43). First, the patient uses another course the patient presses forward another turn of talk, in overlap with the ('kay alright=well') (Schegloff and Sacks 1973). But at just this point (lines 38 and 39), and starts to make pre-closing moves to end this topic At this point, the physician treats her as having completed her turn

raising particular health concerns with their doctor (cf. Halkowski, appears to be a systematic technique that patients have for cautiously (i.e., a reasonable patient), she has an auspicious environment to strongly cope with problems appropriately before bringing them to the doctor reassert that this symptom only occurs at night. This pairing of actions Thus, having just worked to display herself as one who attempts to

## 4. Treating the symptom as a 'delicate'

Note the cautiousness with which both parties handle the naming of this symptom, as well as the work such talk is used to do. In line 3,

occurs at night, she switches to a course of action description (line 6) Neither of them characterizes the symptom as 'sweats' or 'sweating' 'nausea', but once she excludes nausea and says the symptom only the patient used the term 'sweating' when it was in conjunction with

spells ...') which treat naming this problem as a delicate matter, now spells'. This transformation is preceded by a series of disfluencies line 43: 'I never get 'em any other time'). both physician and patient refer to the symptom with a tying term, that the 'hot flashes' hypothesis has been rejected. From then on, (line 25, 'Wi- when did- when did this- when did these hot n' co:ld physician transforms his description of the symptom to 'hot and cold (line 33: 'What causes em'; line 42: 'I never get em during thuh da:y'; In his question concerning the onset of the symptom (line 27), the

the physician asks her for her own candidate explanation. in naming the symptom ('Uh- any what- w- what [causes 'em', line 33), founded about what it is-what causes it. Again exhibiting disfluency does not name it (she only describes it), and she claims to be dumb-While the patient is emphatic about what the symptom is not, she

would not treat it as medically relevant and 'doctorable' (Halkowski acterizations by her could minimize the problem such that the physician a much more serious diagnosis than her situation warrants. Other charto appear). the symptom as 'night sweats', she might be heard to be reaching for tion will be implicative for them both. If the patient were to characterize parties are treating it as a 'delicate', that is, as a matter whose formula-Through all of these namings and descriptions of the symptom, both

compel him to investigate the problem further. Thus the sheer work of doctorable problem). one is using may project and compel different trajectories (treat it as a talking about this problem is a virtual minefield, where the very terms it harder to dismiss it as a benign, common occurrence, and might even non-medically relevant 'mundane' sensation, or as a medically relevant, Particular ways the doctor could characterize the symptom would make

#### B. The physician's response

concern, and does so in a cautious and minimalistic manner. about AIDS (which she attributed to her children), addresses that The physician's response treats the patient as re-invoking the concern

'blood tests' and 'screening tests' (lines 45 to 48), and then projects a list At line 45 the physician initiates a plan proposal. He proposes doing

earlier report of her children's 'worries' in Excerpt 4a. need tuh worry about AI:DS'. This utterance ties back to the patient's count' (lines 48 to 49). This utterance ends in a continuing intonation. of specific investigations which 'will inclu:de things like your white blood (line 50 and 51). He lowers his pitch and proposes, 'I don't thin:k we Then, in a move that he marks as parenthetical, he invokes AIDS

discussion, here in this clinic visit. move makes the concern an explicitly legitimate matter for their from 'the patient's children', to now include the patient and doctor. This ented to. He thereby expansively transforms ownership of the concern we, the doctor treats this concern as something that they are both orias a possible re-invocation of her kids' AIDS concern. Second, by using doctor accomplishes two tasks. First, he treats the prior symptom talk immediately on the heels of the discussion of 'sweating at night', the By suggesting that he doesn't think 'we need tuh worry about AIDS'

needs to be repaired. She lets it stand, thereby allowing the doctor to an understanding' of a less likely cause (Beach and Dixson, in press), make it part of his plan for this problem. doctor's relocation of the ownership of this 'concern' as an error that the patient treats this formulation as a delicate (Jefferson 1984, 1988; Haakana, this issue). Note as well that the patient does not treat the Via her laughter at this precise point, where the doctor is formulating AIDS' the patient produces a short series of laugh particles (line 52). Note that while the doctor is discounting our 'need to worry about

of his attribution. again portrays it as a legitimate concern and thus softens the relocation 'I think uh reassure me an: yerself that that- that that's not uh problem'. By owning part of the concern himself, ('me an: yerself') the physician lines 51 and 53), and suggests that the 'white blood count fractions' wil onto the aforementioned blood tests ('when I check your blood count' He then proposes to piggyback an investigation of her HIV status

a delicate acknowledgment of this concern (an acknowledgment because a delicate. But note again that the patient makes no move to correct or of its precise placement with respect to the doctor's talk, and delicate repair this relocation of the concern. Her laughter instead is hearable as concern (from the patient's kids to 'me and yerself'), treats his action as an explicit pro or con position on the matter). is (again) including her in his transformative relocation of the AIDS with the doctor's talk (line 56), just as the doctor says 'yerself'. The because laughter is a response that allows one to respond without taking precision placement of her laughter, just at this point where the doctor Here, as earlier in this segment, the patient briefly laughs in overlap

> of the interview to continue. tests he will 'also' look for causes of another of the patient's symptoms, continues the list he had projected. He proposes that through these blood investigation into her HIV status, and allows the history-taking phase physician clearly takes as her concern by promising at least a cursory fatigue (line 58 and 59). This plan proposal brings closure to what the He closes the parentheses in line 58, with the contrast marker 'but' and

about AIDS, in addressing the concern en passant he tunes his plan to proposes a medical intervention that is designed to provide reassurance a concern that the patient has not explicitly owned. Thus, while the doctor to treat the patient's symptom as medically actionable, and to address the key in which the 'request' was made. AIDS onto a test he was going to perform anyway—is a minimal way The proposal—to piggyback his investigation into the possibility of

citing evidence that undercuts the basis for concern; however, later in circumstances to be voiced by others. Her physician initially responds by actionable matter through an organized series of reports, in a way that an intervention (e.g., 'Doctor, may I have a(n) ... ?'); the physician table, while never uttering an interrogatively formatted 'request' for patient puts a possible actionable collection of circumstances on the some action on his part—not for itself, but as part of another evaluation treats it as related to the aforementioned concerns and as warranting the visit, when the patient raises a mysterious symptom, the physician allows questions and concerns about the medical implications of her delicate handling. In the case studied here, a patient brings up a possibly Medical interactions are fraught with moments and activities that require intervention that will allow—as an additional, incidental activity—an responds not by granting or denying a request, but by proposing an he was going to do anyway. In this single case analysis, we see how investigation of the concerns the patient raised. 'requesting' and 'responding' are oriented to as delicate matters. The

other medical interventions (Britten 1995; Friedler 1997; Gallagher et al. and patients in those instances when patients request prescriptions and detailed understanding of the interactional dynamics between physicians studies to address the following kinds of questions: How are requests brought up? Are they broached specifically or through general questions? that occurs during clinic visits when patients make requests, asking for 1997). Friedler (1997: 485) advocates studying 'the negotiation process' These findings begin to answer a call for research that provides a more

cooperative or controling? Are physicians receptive to patients' requests for interventions? Are they

opportunity to improve the quality of medical education and practice. satisfaction in Clinic B. If the research on patients' participation in their take account of the various ways that they do this work, we lose an with their care, tells us nothing about how to reproduce that level of health care and physicians' responsiveness to patient initiatives fails to patients in Clinic A who felt their physicians were responsive are satisfied (such as 'patient satisfaction'). Finding that eighty-five percent of the physicians' responsiveness consists of as a set of conversational moves. determine whether and how patients actually made requests and what Absent this information, there is nothing to connect to outcome variables patients' and physicians' perceptions about what occurred, they cannot for future research on patients' requests. Whereas surveys can measure Our study thus has both substantive and methodological implications

requests yet meet patients' medical needs as well as psychosocial needs be responsive in ways that do not constitute wholesale granting of may well look nothing like requests. Furthermore, physicians can strates that phenomena that get treated as requests in medical visits mendations for expensive medical interventions. Our analysis demonspiral upward, increasing attention is being paid to physicians' recomaffects satisfaction with medical care; additionally, as medical costs responsiveness to patients' desires, concerns, and requests, and how this researchers have been eager to determine whether physicians exhibit and responding. As medicine has become increasingly patient-centered, which patients and physicians accomplish the activities of requesting for reassurance. The current study shows some of the complexity and subtlety with

- Earlier versions of this article were presented at the Midwest Sociological Society meetings, Minneapolis, MN, March 1999, and at the National Communication Association meetings, Chicago, November 1999.
- Emerging evidence suggests, for example, that patients relinquish requests for treatment information that have been marked by the physician as 'out of order' and orient to the pursuit of an answer as an accountable action (Roberts 2000).
- Sacks also takes up analysis of performatives, alloying his treatment of the verb say (as a upshot of prior talk. (Sacks 1995, vol. 1: 342-347). not in philosophical or psychological terms—participants arrive at some nonobvious of 'indirect speech acts' it cannot provide a precise account of how-in actual practice, weak pro-verb in place of stronger performatives such as assert) to Austin's 1962). While speech act theory proposes to cover similar territory through the construct philosophical perspective on the issue of accomplishing 'things' with words (Austin
- We wish to extend our appreciation to Doug Maynard for sharing these data.

w

- 4. Halkowski (to appear) focuses on the way patients describe discovering their symptoms so as to show themselves to be oriented—at least initially—to mundane explanations for why the symptoms occur. In the present case, the patient shows this problem (AIDS) on the basis of having had a medical procedure that put her at risk she is experiencing; rather, she proposes the possibility of a candidate health have put her at risk for disease. She does not produce an explanation for a symptom orientation away from hypervigilance, but in relation to analyzing whether life events (a transfusion).
- a health history form prior to her visit. This is a self administered questionnaire that Because she is a new patient for this physician, the patient was asked to complete experiencing, and emotional health (e.g., depression, anxiety). (See Heritage, to (e.g., occupation, number of children), specific health complaints the patient is currently taking, health habits (e.g., alcohol use, exercise), family and personal circumstances includes questions about prior hospitalizations, medications the patient is currently appear b, on another use of a health history form in a medical interview.)
- The patient initially confirms this 1983 date, but later expresses indecision about ago', between 1979 and 1983. when she actually had the surgery, and places it at 'somewhere between six and ten years
- -1 This is the patient's second reference to losing contact with a physician. In the opening sequence, the patient accounts for why she is seeing this physician for the first time:

[Migraine Trouble 1]

I had Doctor L and she up and left tow:n

up and left eh ch

29 J.

An- an didn't even tell you?

No::: isn't that ro:tten. uh huh huh huh

- 8. In this regard, the patient's use of 'also' in her report (line 20) casts it as 'yet another noticing to convey' regarding her hysterectomy, and underscores her engagement in the activity of collecting and chronicling facts and events rather than interpreting and spelling out the implications of those facts and events (Gill 1995, 1997).
- This is because a question, as the first part of a question-answer adjacency pair, establishes the 'conditional relevance' of the second part, an answer (Schegloff 1972).
- Certainly, the 'y(h)a kno:w', at line 29 invites him to hear those implications and gives him an opportunity space to respond.

#### References

- Beach, W. (1995). Preserving and constraining options: 'Okays' and 'official' priorities in Austin, J. L. (1962). How To Do Things With Words. Oxford: Clarendon Press. medical interviews. In Talk of the Clinic, G. H. Morris and R. Cheneil (eds.), 259-289.
- -(1996). Conversations About Illness: Family preoccupations with bulinia. Mahwah. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Beach, W. and Dixson, C. (in press). Revealing moments: Formulating understandings -(to appear). Inviting collaborations in stories about a woman. Language in Society. of adverse experiences in a health appraisal interview. Social Science and Medicine.

Beckman, H. and Frankel, R. (1984). The effect of physician behavior on the collection of data. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 101: 692-696.

Britten, N. (1995). Patients' demands for prescriptions in primary care: Patients cannot take all the blame for overprescribing. British Medical Journal 310: 1084.

Britten, N. and Okoumunne, O. (1997). The influence of patients' hopes of receiving a prescription on doctors' perceptions and the decision to prescribe: A questionnaire survey.

British Medical Journal 315: 1506-1510.

Brody, D., Miller, S., Lerman, C., Smith, D., Lazaro, C., and Blum, M. (1989). The relationship between patients' satisfaction with their physicians and perceptions about interventions they desired and received. *Medical Care* 27: 1027-1035.

Butler, C., Rollnick, S., Pill, R., Maggs-Rapport, F., and Stott, N. (1998). Understanding the culture of prescribing: Qualitative study of general practitioners' and patients' perceptions of antibiotics for sore throats. *British Medical Journal* 317: 637-642.

Clayman, S. (1988). Displaying neutrality in television news interviews. Social Problems 35: 474-492.

—(1992). Footing in the achievement of neutrality: The case of news-interview discourse. In Talk at Work: Interaction in Institutional Settings, P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds.), 163-198. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
Cool-born I and Pitt S (1997) Prescribing behavior in clinical practice: Patients'

Cockborn, J. and Pitt, S. (1997). Prescribing behavior in clinical practice: Patients' expectations and doctors' perceptions of patients' expectations—A questionnaire study. British Medical Journal 315: 520-523.

Drew, P. (1984). Speakers' reportings in invitation sequences. In Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis, J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds.), 129–151. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

—(1991). Asymmetrics of knowledge in conversational interactions. In Asymmetries in Dialogue, I. Markova and K. Foppa (eds.), 21–48. Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

—(to appear). Misalignments between caller and doctor in 'Out-of-hours' telephone calls to a British GP's practice. In *Practicing Medicine: Structure and Process in Primary Care Consultations*, J. Heritage and D. Maynard (eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Eisenthal, S. and Lazare, A. (1976). Specificity of patients' requests in the initial interview. Psychological Reports 38: 739-748.

Eisenthal, S., Koopman, C., and Stoeckle, J. (1990). The nature of patients' requests for physicians' help. Academic Medicine 65: 401-405.

Friedler, E. (1997). The evolving doctor-patient to provider-consumer relationship. Journal of Family Practice 45: 485.

Froelich, G. and Welch, H. (1996). Meeting walk-in patients' expectations for testing: Effects on satisfaction. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 11: 470-474.

Gallagher, T., Lo, B., Chesney, M., and Christensen, K. (1997). How do physicians respond to patients' requests for costly, unindicated services? *Journal of General Internal* 

Gill, V. T. (1995). The organization of patients' explanations and doctors' responses in clinical interaction. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Medicine 12: 663-668.

-(1997). Facts and factors: Reporting as an accounting strategy in doctor-patient interaction. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Sociological Association, Toronto, Canada.

—(1998). Doing attributions in medical interaction: Patients' explanations for illness and doctors' responses. Social Psychology Quarterly 61: 342-360.

Gill, V. T. and Maynard, D. (to appear). Patients' explanations for health problems and physicians' responsiveness in the medical interview. In Practicing Medicine: Structure and Process in Primary Care Consultations, J. Heritage and D. Maynard (eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Goffman, E. (1981). Forms of Talk. Oxford: Blackwell.

Good, M., Good, B., and Nassi, A. (1983). Patient requests in primary health care settings: Development and validation of a research instrument. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 6: 151-168.

Haakana, M. (this issue). Laughter as a patient's resource: Dealing with delicate aspects of medical interaction. TEXT 21–1/2.

Halkowski, T. (to appear). Realizing the illness: Patients reports of symptom discovery in primary care visits. In Practicing Medicine: Structure and Process in Primary Care Consultations, J. Heritage and D. Maynard (eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Heath, C. (1992). The delivery and reception of diagnosis in the general-practice consultation. In *Talk at Work: Interaction in Institutional Settings*, P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds.), 235-267. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Heritage, J. (1984). A change-of-state token and aspects of its sequential placement. In Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis, J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds.), 299-345. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

—(to appear a). Accounting for the visit: Patient's reasons for seeking medical care. In Practicing Medicine: Structure and Process in Primary Care Consultations, J. Heritage and D. Maynard (eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

—(to appear b). Ad hoc inquiries: Two preferences in the design of 'routine' questions in an open context. In D. Maynard, H. Houtkoop-Steenstra, N. Schaefer and H. van der Zouwen (eds.) Standardization and Tacit Knowledge: Interaction and Practice in the Survey Interview, New York: Wiley Press.

Jefferson, G. (1974). Error correction as an interactional resource. Language in Society 2: 181-199.

—(1984). On the organization of laughter in talk about troubles. In Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis, J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds.), 346–369. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

—(1988). On the sequential organization of troubles talk in ordinary conversation. Social Problems 35: 418-441.

Joos, S., Hickham, D., and Borders, L. (1993). Patients' desires and satisfaction in general medicine clinics. *Public Health Reports* 108: 751-759.

Kravitz, R., Cope, D., Bhrany, V., and Leake, B. (1994). Internal medicine patients' expectations for care during office visits. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 9: 75-81.

Like, R. and Zyzanski, S. (1987). Patient satisfaction with the clinical encounter: Social psychological determinants. Social Science and Medicine 24: 351-357.

Macfarlane, J., Holmes, W., Macfarlane, R., and Britten, N. (1997). Influence of patients expectations on antibiotic management of acute lower respiratory tract illness in general practice: Questionnaire study. *British Medical Journal* 315: 1211-1214.

Mangione-Smith, R., McGlynn, E. A., Elliott, M. N., Krogstad P., and Brook R. H. (1999).

The relationship between perceived parental expectations and pediatric antimicrobial prescribing behavior. *Pediatrics* 103: 711-718.

Peyrot, M., Alperstein, N., Van Doren, D., and Poli, L. (1998). Direct-to-consumer ads drug requests. Marketing Health Services Summer: 27-32. can influence behavior: Advertising increases consumer knowledge and prescription

Pomerantz, A. (1980). Telling my side: 'Limited access' as a 'fishing' device Sociological Inquiry 50: 186-198.

(1984a). Giving a source of basis: The practice in conversation of telling 'how I know' Journal of Pragmatics 8: 607-625.

(1984b). Agreeing and disagreeing with assessments: Some features of preferred dispreferred turn shapes. In Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis, J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds.), 57-101. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Roberts, F. (2000). The interactional construction of asymmetry: The medical agenda as a resource for delaying response to patient questions. Sociological Quarterly 41: 151-170.

Robinson, J. (1998). Getting down to business: Talk, gaze, and body orientation during openings of doctor-patient consultations. Human Communication Research

-(1999). The organization of action and activity in primary-care, doctor-patient consultations. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.

Sacks, H. (1984). On doing 'being ordinary'. In Structures of Social Action, J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds.), 413-429. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

(1995). Lectures on Conversation, vols. 1 and 2, G. Jefferson (ed.). Cambridge, MA:

Schegloff, E. (1972). Notes on a conversational practice: Formulating place. In Studies in Social Interaction, David Sudnow (ed.), 75-119. New York: Free Press.

-(1987). Analyzing single episodes of interaction: An exercise in conversation analysis Social Psychology Quarterly 50: 101-114.

tion. Journal of Pragmatics 12: 55-62. (1988). Presequences and indirection: Applying speech act theory to ordinary conversa

Schegloff, E. and Sacks, H. (1973). Opening up closings. Semiotica 7: 289-327.

Stivers, T. (to appear). Negotiating antibiotic treatment in pediatric care: The communication of preferences in physician-parent interaction. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Applied Linguistics, University of California, Los Angeles.

ten Have, Paul (1991). Talk and institution: A reconsideration of the 'asymmetry' of and Conversation Analysis. D. Boden and D. Zimmerman (eds.), 138-163. Cambridge: doctor-patient interaction. In Talk and Social Structure: Studies in Ethnomethodology

a clinic for childhood developmental disabilities. physician-patient interaction, especially patients' explanations for illness and requests in the Department of Sociology, Illinois State University. Her research focuses on Virginia Teas Gill (Ph.D., University of Wisconsin, Madison) is an Assistant Professor for medical interventions. She has also studied the interactional process of labeling in

Professor in the Department of Family Medicine, at the Milwaukee Clinical Campus of the University of Wisconsin Medical School. His research focuses on doctor-patient Timothy Halkowski (Ph.D., University of California at Santa Barbara) is an Associate of medical advice. discussions of new symptom discoveries, tobacco and alcohol use, and patients' rejections

of Communication at Purdue University. Her core interest concerns how contexts and Felicia Roberts (Ph.D., University of Wisconsin, Madison) is an Assistant Professor

> cian-patient interactions, particularly within oncology settings. Currently she is analyzing option to patients. the rhetorical practices of oncologists as they present cancer clinical trials as a treatment identities are constituted through talk-in-interaction. She has focused primarily on physi-