

Lecture 13: Designing nanomedical systems (NMS) for in-vivo use

- 13.1 Bringing in-vivo considerations into NMS design
 - 13.1.1 the in-vitro to ex-vivo to in-vivo paradigm
 - 13.1.1.1 In-vitro - importance of choosing suitable cell lines
 - 13.1.1.2 adding the complexity of in-vivo background while keeping the simplicity of in-vitro
 - 13.1.1.3 all the complexity of ex-vivo plus the “active” components of a real animal
 - 13.1.2 In-vivo systems are open, “active” systems with multiple layers of complexity
 - 13.1.2.1 In-vitro and ex-vivo are mostly “closed” systems, but not absolutely
 - 13.1.2.2 What is an “open” system?
 - 13.1.2.3 Attempts to isolate open systems
 - 13.1.3 Layers of complexity of in-vivo systems
 - 13.1.3.1 Human cells in nude mice – a mixture of in-vitro and in-vivo
 - 13.1.3.2 “Model” small animal systems
 - 13.1.3.3 better model larger animal systems
- 13.2 Circulation time and biodistribution
 - 13.2.1 factors affecting circulation time
 - 13.2.1.1 size/shape
 - 13.2.1.2 "stealth layer" coating
 - 13.2.1.3 zeta potential in-vivo in varying environments
 - 13.2.1.4 filtration and excretion
 - 13.2.1.5 dose/targeting
 - 13.2.2. where do the NMS go in-vivo?
 - 13.2.2.1 checking the obvious organs (liver, spleen, kidney, blood...)
 - 13.2.2.2 finding NMS in tissues and organs
 - 13.2.2.2.1 in-vivo
 - 13.2.2.2.2 within dissected tissue sections
 - 13.2.2.2.3 in blood (ex-vivo versus in-vivo flow cytometry)
 - 13.2.2.2.4. what is excreted?
 - 13.2.3 Circulation time and dose optimization
 - 13.2.3.1 measure drug concentration over time
 - 13.2.3.2 is there an optimal drug dose?
- 13.4 In-vivo targeting and mistargeting
 - 13.4.1 mode of administration (intravenous, oral, intra-tumor...)
 - 13.4.2 how can we assess targeting in-vivo? (MRI, fluorescence, ...)
 - 13.4.3 a rare-cell targeting problem
 - 13.4.4 consequences of mistargeting
 - 13.4.5 balancing dosing, therapeutic efficacy, and consequences of mistargeting
- 13.5 Evaluating therapeutic efficacy in-vivo
 - 13.5.1 advantages of non-invasive measurements
 - 13.5.2 measures of tumor load/shrinkage (tumor size, weight,..)

- 13.5.3 other measures of disease effects
 - 13.5.3.1 direct measurement of restoration of lost or compromised functions
 - 13.5.3.2 indirect measures of disease effects (e.g. behavior, weight gain/loss, .)
- 13.5.4 Some examples of in-vivo work with NMS
- 13.6 Summary
 - 13.6.1 Choosing an appropriate animal model and getting it approved takes time!
 - 13.6.2 Animal experiments are expensive and time-consuming
 - 13.6.3 Performing in-vivo measurements of drug delivery and therapeutic efficacy are more challenging and expensive than in-vitro or ex-vivo work!
 - 13.6.4 But ultimately you must show that the NMS works in-vivo

References

- Bhirde, A.A., Patel, V., Gavard, J., Zhang, G., Sousa, A.A., Masedunskas, A., Leapman, R.D., Weigert, R., Gutkind, J.S., Rusling, J.F. Targeted Killing of Cancer Cells in Vivo and in Vitro with EGF-Directed Carbon Nanotube-Based Drug Delivery. *ACS Nano* 3(2) 307-316 (2009).
- Cartier, R., Kaufner, L., Paulke, B.R., Wustneck, R., Pietschmann, S., Michel, R., Bruhn, H., Pison, U. Latex nanoparticles for multimodal imaging and detection in vivo. *Nanotechnology* 18:195102 – 195113 (2007).
- Chenga, J., Teply, B.A., Sherifia, I., Sunga, J., Luthera, G., Gua, F.X., Levy-Nissenbauma, E., Radovic-Morenob, A.F., Langer, R., Farokhzad, O.C. Formulation of functionalized PLGA–PEG nanoparticles for in vivo targeted drug delivery. *Biomaterials* 28: 869–876 (2007).
- Farokhzad, O.C., Cheng, J., Teply, B.A., Sherifi, I., Jon, S., Kantoff, P.W., Richie, J.P., Langer, R. Targeted nanoparticle-aptamer bioconjugates for cancer chemotherapy in vivo. *PNAS* 103(6): 6313–6320 (2006).
- Hou, C-H, Hou, S-M, Hsueh, Y-S, Lin, J., Wu, H-C, Lin, F-H The in vivo performance of biomagnetic hydroxyapatite nanoparticles in cancer hyperthermia therapy *Biomaterials* 30: 3956–3960 (2009).