An experimental and kinetic study of syngas/air combustion at elevated temperatures and the effect of water addition
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Abstract

Laminar flame speeds of premixed syngas/air mixtures were measured at various fuel equivalence ratios (0.6–3.0), H2 content of the fuel, and preheat temperatures (298–500 K) using a spherically expanding flame configuration. The measured laminar flame speeds were compared with simulations using three existing chemical kinetic models – GRI Mech 3.0, H2/CO Davis Mechanism and San Diego mechanism. Reasonable agreement between computations and measurements was achieved at room temperature that validated the new experimental configuration. However, at higher preheat temperatures discrepancies between computed and measured values were large, especially for fuel rich mixtures. Addition of H2O to two fuels (H2/CO = 5/95 and 50/50) up to 40% in the fuel–air mixture was studied to understand the effect of moisture in coal derived syngas. For the H2/CO = 5/95 fuel, flame speed was observed to increase with up to 20% H2O addition and then to decrease with any further water addition. However, the higher H2 content fuel (H2/CO = 50/50) only showed a decrease in flame speed with an increasing water concentration in the fuel–air mixture. The different trends have been explained as a result of the competing chemical and physical (dilution and thermal) effects of H2O addition on the syngas flames using sensitivity analyses and by analyzing reaction rates and radical concentrations.
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1. Introduction

Synthetic gas (syngas) is a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen in various compositions and can be derived from a number of sources such as coal, biomass gasification or from natural gas during steam reforming [1]. Syngas is a potential clean fuel and is already being used in high efficiency gas turbines in the Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) for power generation. The composition of syngas is dependent mainly on the fuel source from which it is derived and it can contain minor constituents such as CO2, H2O, NH3 and H2S. Variation in its composition can affect the performance of the system. Therefore, it was considered essential to study the burning properties of syngas over a wide range of compositions and with the presence of minor constituents. The accuracy of kinetic models is critical for the design of clean and efficient gas turbine combustors. Thus, comprehensive assessment of the validity of existing chemical kinetic mechanisms under conditions representative of the operating conditions of advanced gas turbines is required.

Numerous experimental and modeling studies have been reported for syngas. Experimental studies include determination of species profiles [2,3], ignition delay times [4–7] and laminar flame speed measurements [8–17]. Several mechanisms have been developed that can be used to represent the chemical kinetics of CO–H2 and air mixtures, among which are the GRI Mech 3.0 by Smith et al. [18], the H2/CO Mech by Davis et al. [19], the San Diego mechanism by Petrova and Williams [20], a reduced reaction mechanism for methane and syngas combustion in gas turbines by Slavinskaya et al. [21], and another H2/CO kinetic mechanism by Frassoldati et al. [22]. Recently two other mechanisms have been developed by Li et al. [23] and Sun et al. [8] with the latest reaction rate constants.

Laminar burning speed is an important parameter that is commonly used for validation of chemical kinetics. Laminar burning speeds of syngas have already been investigated extensively at normal temperature and pressure, using spherical bombs [8,10,11,13,17], counter-flow twin flame configurations [12,24] and Bunsen burners [15,25]. Considerable accuracy in the prediction of the laminar burning speeds has been achieved for normal temperature and pressure conditions. However, anomalies have been reported at higher temperatures and pressures that are closer to the conditions that exist in gas turbines or burners. Natarajan et al.’s [15] studies of CO–H2 combustion for fuel lean conditions showed that at high temperatures the measured laminar flame speeds have large discrepancies with numerical simulations using GRI Mech 3.0 and H2/CO Davis Mechanism. Furthermore, few studies (except [26–28]) have considered the effects of minor species...
such as H₂O, which could alter the ignition and combustion behavior of syngas.

The motivation of the present paper is to extend flame speed measurements of syngas for various H₂–CO compositions (5% H₂–95% H₂), equivalence ratios (0.6–3.0), and high temperatures (298–500 K) using a spherical flame configuration. In addition, the effect of addition of H₂O to the fuel mixture at high temperature was investigated. Difference in trends of laminar flame speeds with increasing water percentage, for fuels containing H₂/CO in different ratios has been discussed.

2. Experimental method

The major components of the facility used for the experiments include a spherical combustion chamber placed inside a high temperature oven, a high voltage spark generator, and a Schlieren optical system for recording the flame propagation inside the chamber. A schematic of the experimental facility is shown in Fig. 1. The spherical combustion chamber is made of stainless steel with an inside diameter of 36 cm. The chamber is fitted with two diametrically opposed electrodes made of tungsten wire to provide a spark at the center of the chamber. The gap between these two electrodes is adjustable and the voltage between the two electrodes is controlled by the high voltage spark generator to provide the minimum ignition energy. Two quartz windows, with high durability against pressure and temperature shocks, are mounted on diametrically opposite ends to provide access for viewing the flame. The combustion chamber is placed in a customized oven that can be preheated to a maximum temperature of 650 K using a precise temperature controller. A Z-type Schlieren imaging system has been set up to visualize flame propagation using a high-speed digital camera with a maximum capture rate up to 6600 frames per second.

At the start of an experiment, the chamber was first vacuumed and then the reactant mixture was prepared by filling the chamber with gases at the appropriate partial pressures depending on the desired mixture composition and test pressure. The prepared mixture was allowed to lay undisturbed for 30 min to allow for any disturbances to settle and to ensure complete mixing of the fuel and air by diffusion. A triggering circuit was used to simultaneously trigger the recording on the camera and the spark igniter. For the experiments with water vapor addition, water was preheated in a chamber to vapor phase before being added to the fuel mixture in the combustion chamber. Several thermocouples were installed inside the heated water chamber and on the pipelines between the water chamber and the combustion chamber to ensure the temperature were above the boiling point of water.

Commercial grade compressed air was used for the experiment with 99.5% purity. H₂ and carbon monoxide were graded at 99.8% and 99.5% purity respectively. A recent study by Chaos and Dryer [31] has attributed the deviations between experimental results from different groups to the presence of iron pentacarbonyl (Fe(CO)₅) impurities in carbon monoxide. Therefore, carbon monoxide stored in aluminum containers was used in the experiments to ensure the absence of iron pentacarbonyl, a flame inhibitor, as shown by Rumminger and Linteris [30], that develops over time in carbon monoxide stored in steel containers.

3. Data processing

Similar to previous measurements of flame speeds [32,33] for gaseous fuels using a spherical combustion chamber of the same size, flame radius measurements have been limited to a range of 8 mm < r < 30 mm. The lower limit was chosen to avoid disturbances caused by the transient ignition process and the upper limit was to ensure that the pressure increase inside the chamber was negligible. The lower limit was determined experimentally in a manner similar to that employed in the studies of liquid fuels, which was described in a previous paper [34]. The upper limit of 30 mm was imposed on the radius of flame measurements in accordance with past flame speed measurement in a similar configuration [32,35–38].

This value corresponds to 16.67% of the maximum radius and just 0.46% of the total volume of the chamber, which ensures that the pressure rise over this radius is less than 0.7%. Additionally, Burke et al. [39] has shown that for flame radius less than 40% of the maximum, the flame speed is affected by less than 1% due to pressure increase in the chamber. Chen et al.’s [40] theoretical study of a spherically propagating methane/air flame also showed that for a ratio of flame radius to maximum radius lesser than 0.2, the effect of compression induced flow is almost negligible.

Under these assumptions, the local stretched flame speed and flame stretch is given by the following quasi-steady expressions proposed by Strehlow and Savage [41].

\[ \frac{d\theta}{dr} = \frac{\theta}{r} \]
\[ S_l = \frac{\rho_u \, dr}{\rho_u \, dt} \quad (1) \]

\[ K = \frac{2 \, dr}{r \, dt} \quad (2) \]

where \( S_l \) is the unburned gas speed and \( K \) is the flame stretch. The ratio of the burned gas to the unburned gas density was computed using the NASA Chemical Equilibrium Applications code under the assumption of adiabatic constant pressure combustion [42].

For small stretch rates, the stretched flame speed data can be extrapolated linearly to zero stretch to obtain the unstretched laminar flame speed, \( S_{b,w} \). The following equation proposed by Markstein [43] and Clavin [44] provides this linear relationship:

\[ S_l = S_{b,w} - L_b K \quad (3) \]

where \( L_b \) is the Markstein length.

This widely-used linear relation (Eq. (3)) is however subject to the limitations of small stretch rate [45]. For moderate stretch rates, the following non-linear relation based on a model developed by Ronney and Sivashinsky [46] for quasi-steady, outwardly propagating spherical flames is more appropriate to obtain the unstretched laminar flame speed (\( S_{b,w} \)):

\[ \left( \frac{S_b}{S_{b,w}} \right)^2 \ln \left( \frac{S_b}{S_{b,w}} \right)^2 = -\frac{2L_b K}{S_{b,w}} \quad (4) \]

where \( S_b \) is the stretched flame speed of the burned gases and \( L_b \) is the burned gas Markstein length. The unstretched flame speed relative to unburned gases (\( S_{b,w} \)) is related to \( S_{b,w} \) by the ratio of the burned and unburned gas density. For most conditions, the flame speeds obtained by linear and non-linear extrapolation were very similar. The values of flame speeds presented below are obtained using non-linear extrapolation.

### 4. Computational method

Numerical simulations of steady, laminar, freely propagating 1-D premixed flames were carried out using the PREMIX [47] module of the CHEMKIN 4.1 [48] software. Multi-component diffusion coefficients were used to evaluate the transport properties of the gas mixture. Computational grid and grid tolerance parameters were reduced to 0.1 or lower to ensure accuracy.

Three reaction mechanisms – GRI Mech 3.0 [49], H\textsubscript{2}/CO kinetic model proposed by Davis and Law [50] and San Diego mechanism [20] have been used to numerically simulate the laminar burning speeds. The GRI Mech 3.0 contains 53 species and 325 reactions and has been validated by various experimental studies for the accurate prediction of laminar burning speeds, species profiles and ignition delay times of methane–air mixtures and CO–H\textsubscript{2}/air mixtures [18]. The second mechanism which is specific to H\textsubscript{2}/CO combustion consists of 14 species and 30 reactions [19]. It has been extensively validated for a large number of combustion parameters and is widely cited in literature. It is now the basis of the USC Mech II [51] reaction model for C\textsubscript{1}–C4 kinetics. The San Diego mechanism consists of 38 species and 235 reactions and has been developed to represent the behavior of various small hydrocarbons containing up to 3 carbon atoms, in conditions that occur in flames, high temperature ignition and detonations. This mechanism has been verified with several gases and fuels to give fairly accurate predictions [52]. Simulations from this mechanism were verified against burning speeds and auto-ignition characteristics of premixed CO/H\textsubscript{2} flames and were shown to have excellent agreement with data available in literature.

### 5. Results and discussion

The unstretched flame speeds of different H\textsubscript{2}–CO mixtures are presented below as a function of equivalence ratio at atmospheric pressure and normal and elevated temperatures. The measured speeds were compared with the numerical simulations using GRI Mech 3.0 [18], H\textsubscript{2}/CO Mech [19] and San Diego [20] kinetic mechanisms, as well as experimental data from literature. Furthermore, laminar flame speeds of syngas mixtures with addition of water are reported along with comparisons with computational results and an analysis of the results. In the following discussion the laminar flame speed refers to the unstretched speeds.

#### 5.1. Validation: flame speeds at room temperature

Experiments were performed for various compositions of syngas at 298 K. These results were compared with the experimental data in the literature with the intention of providing a validation for the current experimental setup. Fig. 2 shows the measured and predicted flame speeds for syngas/air mixtures as a function of equivalence ratio at 298 K and 1 atm. Also shown are the experimental data of Sun et al. [8], McLean et al. [11] acquired from spherically propagating flames and Natarajan et al. [15] using Bun-sen burner flames, where available. For the high hydrogen content (HHC) syngas mixtures (75% H\textsubscript{2}–25% CO), no data have been reported previously.

For the 5% H\textsubscript{2}–95% CO mixture, all the measurements made using spherically propagating flames (Sun et al. [8], McLean et al. [11] and the present results) are in good agreement with each other. The values measured by Natarajan et al. [15] are slightly higher than the present measurement and other measurements made with spherical flame configurations over equivalence ratios ranging from 0.6–0.9. Similarly for the 25% H\textsubscript{2}–75% CO mixture and the equimolar mixture of H\textsubscript{2}–CO (50–50%), the present measurement are in good agreement with those measured by Sun et al. [8], McLean et al. [11] and Natarajan et al. [15]. On comparison of the computational results, it can be seen that the H\textsubscript{2}/CO Davis mechanism and the San Diego mechanism can simulate the experimentally determined flame speeds with better accuracy as compared with the GRI Mech-3.0 mechanism. The predictions by the GRI Mech 3.0 [18] are higher than the predictions by the other two mechanisms and the difference is most significant for fuel rich mixtures.

![Fig. 2. Laminar flame speeds of various compositions of H\textsubscript{2}–CO mixtures for different equivalence ratios at 298 K and 1 atm. Lines represent simulations using different mechanisms and symbols represent experimental data.](image-url)
With increase in percentage of hydrogen in the fuel mixture, there is an increase in the flame speeds at all equivalence ratios, as expected. The equivalence ratio corresponding to the maximum burning speed shifts towards the fuel lean side with increase in hydrogen content in the fuel. The flame speed peaks at approximately $\phi = 3.0$ at a value close to 65 cm/s for a 5% H$_2$–95% CO mixture whereas the peak occurs at $\phi = 1.8$ for the 75% H$_2$–25% CO fuel. The shifting of the peak from rich to lean going from 5% H$_2$ to 75% H$_2$ in the fuel mixture is because addition of H$_2$ to CO results in a kinetic effect through the reaction CO + OH = CO$_2$ + H. The flame speed has the highest sensitivity to this reaction (as is discussed in Section 5.4). However, this kinetic effect is much stronger for small percentages of H$_2$ and possibly overshadows the decrease in the flame speed due to reduced adiabatic flame temperatures for the rich mixtures. With higher percentages of H$_2$, this effect saturates and the peak flame speed is closer to where it occurs for pure H$_2$ flames.

5.2. Effects of preheat temperature

To examine the effect of preheat temperature on flame speed and chemical kinetics, experiments were conducted at elevated temperatures of 400 K and 500 K for the 50% H$_2$–50% CO mixture. The results are shown in Fig. 3. With increase in preheat temperature (300–500 K), there is an increase in flame speed as expected. At a preheat temperature of 500 K, the present measurements at fuel-lean conditions are lower than the measurements made by Natarajan et al. [14]. The discrepancy between the measured and computed flame speeds also becomes significant at 500 K, especially at fuel rich conditions. All the mechanisms over predict measured flame speeds.

Discrepancies between measured and predicted flame speeds at higher preheat temperatures have been reported by others for syngas mixtures. Natarajan et al. [15] show that existing kinetics over-predict flame speeds at temperatures above 400 K; and the discrepancy increases with increasing preheat temperature. Note these experiments were conducted primarily for fuel lean conditions. The discrepancies could be due to the inaccurate representation of reaction rates of certain reactions at higher temperatures. Motivated by this, we performed sensitivity analyses of flame speed with respect to reaction rates for the 50% H$_2$–50% CO mixture at $\phi = 3.0$ at 298 K, 400 K and 500 K, respectively. These results are shown in Fig. 4 and highlight the reactions to which the flame speed is most sensitive. As the preheat temperature increases, the sensitivity coefficient for these reactions increase: H$_2$ + O = OH + H, H$_2$ + OH = H$_2$O + H and H + O$_2$(+M) = HO$_2$ + M. In particular, the last one is a chain recombination reaction involving third bodies. It might be useful to further look at the reaction rates of these reactions at higher temperatures.

5.3. Effect of addition of H$_2$O

Coal derived syngas from most gasifiers typically has a moisture content of 6–20% [29]. This motivated us to study the effect of water addition on flame speed and chemical kinetics. Stoichiometric fuel mixtures containing H$_2$–CO in the ratios – 5:95 and 50:50 were used to study the physical and chemical effect of H$_2$O in syngas. All the experiments were conducted at 400 K. Water was preheated in a heated fuel line to vapor phase before being added to the fuel mixture into the combustion chamber.

Fig. 5 shows the measured and predicted flames speeds for various percentages of water addition to the fuel mixture. An initial increase in flame speed is observed with up to 20% H$_2$O addition for the fuel mixture containing H$_2$–CO in a 5:95 ratio. This result is similar to observations made by Das et al. [53] at 323 K. The flame speed from the present measurements at the peak was 28% higher than the flame speed for a dry H$_2$–CO mixture. Beyond that any addition of water results in a decrease in the flame speed. However, such a trend is not observed for the equimolar mixture of H$_2$–CO. The flame speed decreases monotonically with H$_2$O addition in the fuel mixture. The H$_2$/CO Davis and San Diego mechanisms have better predictions over most of the range of fuel-water mixtures considered for the H$_2$–CO-5:95 and 50:50 ratio.

The behavior for low H$_2$ content fuels with H$_2$O addition was further analyzed to understand the cause of this initial increase in flame speed observed. Fig. 6 shows the calculated adiabatic flame temperature as a function of H$_2$O percentage in the fuel mixture, for both 5:95 and 50:50 H$_2$/CO compositions. For both mixtures, the adiabatic flame temperature decreases with increase in H$_2$O addition, due to the large heat capacity of H$_2$O, reflecting the physical dilution effect. The decreasing flame temperature should result in a corresponding decrease in flame speed. Therefore, the initial increase in flame speed for low H$_2$ content fuels with H$_2$O addition could be due to the dominance of a chemical effect.
5.4. Chemical effect of water addition

To understand the chemical effect of water addition, we first examined the concentrations of the important radicals including H, O, and OH in the reaction zone. Previous studies have shown a strong correlation between flame speed and the maximum concentration of H and OH radicals in the reaction zone of premixed hydrogen flames [32,54,55]. Figs. 7 and 8 show the profiles of the intermediate species for H₂–CO (5/95)/H₂O/air and H₂–CO (50–50)/H₂O/air flames respectively, at 1 atm and 400 K using the San Diego Mechanism. For the former flame, the maximum concentration of H and OH radicals increase with H₂O addition up to 20% in the fuel mixture, beyond which the H and OH radical concentrations decreases with further H₂O addition. This indicates that a small amount of water addition produces more H and OH radicals in the reaction zone than the dry fuel. However, the maximum concentration of H and OH radicals decrease monotonically for increasing water percentage to the fuel with 50% H₂–50% CO. For both cases, the maximum concentration of O radical decreases as the water percentage increases. The findings here, which are consistent with the above mentioned earlier studies [32,54,55], partially explain why a small amount of water addition increases flame speed. That is, an increase in H or OH concentrations would result in an increase in flame speed.

To further look at the kinetic effect of water addition, we performed sensitivity analysis of flame speed using the San Diego mechanism. The normalized sensitivity coefficients with respective to the reaction rates of the most important reactions are shown in Fig. 9 for the 5% H₂–95% CO/air mixture. The CO + OH = CO₂ + H (R7) reaction has the highest sensitivity and has an increasing effect on the flame speed with H₂O addition up to 30%. It can be seen that the addition of H₂O increases the sensitivity of the chain branching reactions – H + O₂ = OH + O (R1) and H₂O + O = 2OH (R2), both resulting in an increase in OH radical production. There is decreased sensitivity of the reactions H₂ + O = OH + H (R3) and H₂O₂ + H = 2OH (R4). The sensitivity to the three-body chain termination reactions H + OH + M = H₂O + M (R5), involving the consumption of OH and H radicals, increases with increase in percentage of H₂O. Since reaction R5 has a negative sensitivity, an increase in its sensitivity indicates a decrease in flame speed with increased reaction rate.

To understand the difference in behavior of the higher hydrogen content fuel, a similar analysis was conducted for the 50% H₂–50% CO mixture (Fig. 10). The major difference is the effect of the reaction H₂ + OH = H₂O + H (R8). In the case of the fuel with H₂/CO in the ratio of 5/95, this reaction has a slight negative sensitivity coefficient on flame speed. With increase in percentage of H₂O the reaction is driven in the reverse direction, generating greater number of OH radicals. On the other hand, for the 50/50 ratio of H₂/CO, the reaction has a strong positive influence. This is because of higher concentration of H₂ that could drive the reaction in the forward direction consuming the OH radicals. The sensitivity of R7 is reduced comparatively and is comparable to the sensitivities of R1 and R2. There is a change in sensitivity of the reactions only with an initial increase in H₂O percentage up to 10% after which the sensitivities remain unchanged. Also, the reaction R2 has an extremely low sensitivity for the 50/50 H₂/CO fuel; whereas it was significant for the previous case indicating that this could be responsible for the difference in behavior of the 2 fuels.

To further understand the chemical effect of water addition, reactions rates were studied, particularly those reactions on which the fuel flow rate has maximum sensitivity. Fig. 11 shows reaction rates of H₂/CO (5/95)/H₂O/air mixtures with H₂O content varying from 0–40%. From the reaction rate profiles, it can be seen that reaction R7 has the highest reaction rate followed by reaction R1. Flame speed has the highest sensitivity to the reaction rate of R7 and this rate almost doubles with 20% addition of water. With any further addition of water, the reaction rate starts to show a very slight decrease. This increase in reaction rate is created by the increased OH radical pool as is seen from the species profiles (Fig. 7). OH radical concentrations show an increase for up to 20% H₂O in the fuel mixture and then slightly decrease. Increased H₂O concentration up to 20% also has an increasing effect on the reaction rates of most of the reactions that result in addition of radicals to the OH pool except reaction R8. The reaction R8 has a negative reaction rate and its rate increases significantly with increase in the percentage of water. The net concentration of OH is a resultant from these reactions causing an increase with up to 20% water addition as is seen from Fig. 7.

In summary, from the above analysis of the reaction rates, radical profiles and sensitivities, it can be seen that addition of water
to the fuel mixture (H₂/CO = 5/95) increases the concentration of the OH radicals, which control the main reaction that affects flame speed. The reaction rates depend on reactant temperatures and concentrations of the radicals. With H₂O addition to the fuels, the flame temperatures decrease whereas the OH radical concentrations increase. Therefore, it can be said that for up to 20% H₂O in the fuel mixture, the chemical effect of the increase in OH radicals is stronger than the thermal and dilution effects of reduction in temperature. This results in an increase in the flame speed. Beyond this, the dilution of the fuel and hence, lowering of the flame and burned gas temperature dominates and the resultant effect is a lowering in the flame speed. As opposed to this, the higher H₂ content fuel (H₂/CO = 50/50) does not demonstrate the dominance of chemical effect. The dominant effect on flame speed is the thermal/dilution effect of H₂O presence in the fuel resulting in lowering reaction rates, and therefore, flame speed s.

Fig. 7. Profiles of the major radical concentrations for H₂/CO (5:95)/H₂O/air mixtures at 400 K and 1 atm. (a) 0% H₂O; (b) 10% H₂O; (c) 20% H₂O; (d) 30% H₂O; (e) 40% H₂O.
Fig. 8. Profiles of the major radical concentrations for H₂/CO (50:50)/H₂O/air mixtures at 400 K and 1 atm. (a) 0% H₂O; (b) 10% H₂O; (c) 20% H₂O.

Fig. 9. Normalized sensitivity coefficient of flame speed with respect to the reaction rate for H₂/CO (5:95)/air flames with varying concentration of water (0–40%). The mechanism used is the San Diego mechanism.
Fig. 10. Normalized sensitivity coefficient of flame speed with respect to the reaction rate for H$_2$/CO (50:50)/air flames with varying concentration of water (0–40%). The mechanism used is the San Diego mechanism.

Fig. 11. Reaction rates of the most important reactions for H$_2$/CO (5:95)/air mixtures with varying percentages of H$_2$O (0–40%). (a) 0% H$_2$O; (b) 20% H$_2$O; (c) 30% H$_2$O; (d) 40% H$_2$O.
6. Conclusions

Laminar flame speeds of four compositions of syngas, with H₂ content varying from 5% to 75%, were measured at room and elevated temperatures using saturated expanding flames. The room temperature measurements were in good agreement with the experimental data in the literature, providing a validation of the present experimental apparatus. Large discrepancies were observed between the measured and predicted flame speeds, however, were observed at higher preheat temperatures, especially for fuel rich conditions. The discrepancies could be caused by the inaccurate representation of reaction rates of certain reactions at higher temperatures. Nevertheless, more investigation is needed on the effect of preheat temperature on flame speed and chemical kinetics. Regarding water addition, the low hydrogen content syngas mixture demonstrated an increasing trend with increasing percentage of H₂ and H₂O addition to the fuel mixture. Beyond this percentage, the trend reversed and followed the expected behavior due to reduced flame temperature. However, for the high hydrogen content syngas mixture, a straight forward inverse correlation between flame speed and H₂O addition to the fuel mixture was observed. These different trends are attributed to the competition between the chemical effect and the physical effect (thermal and dilution) of water addition.
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