ECE 595, Section 10 Numerical Simulations Lecture 15: Beam Propagation Method II

Prof. Peter Bermel February 13, 2013

Outline

- Recap from Monday
- Perfectly Matched Layers
- Finite Elements
- Finite Element BPM
- Reducing FEM Errors

Recap from Monday

- Derivation of Beam Propagation Method
- Nonlinear Schrodinger equation
- Comparison of BPM Strategies
 - FFT
 - Uniform spatial grid
 - Finite element

Recap from Monday

• Beam propagation amounts to solving:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = (U + W)\phi$$

where:
$$U = \frac{j}{2\beta} \nabla_{\perp}^{2}$$
$$W = \frac{jk_{\perp}^{2}}{2\beta}$$

ECE 595, Prof. Bermel

Perfectly Matched Layers

- In order to prevent lateral reflections (e.g., from PEC boundaries), can introduce perfectly matched layers (PML)
- Several formulations (including split-field and uniaxial), but here we'll follow stretched coordinate PML
- Effected by the transformation:

$$\nabla \rightarrow A \cdot \nabla$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - j\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - j\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta = -\frac{3\lambda\rho^2}{4\pi n d^3} \ln R$$

ECE 595, Prof. Bermel

Perfectly Matched Layers

- Residual reflection scales as a power law with PML thickness
- Cubic absorption increase with position offers the best performance



A.F. Oskooi *et al., Comput. Phys. Commun.* (2009)

Finite Elements

• Shapes: 1D, 2D, and 3D



• Shape functions:

1D:
$$u(x) = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2 + \cdots$$

2D/3D: $u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} [\alpha_k x^k + \beta_k y^k + \gamma_k z^k]$

Finite Elements

• Lagrange functions:

$$\lambda_o(x) = \frac{\xi_1 - x}{\xi_1 - \xi_o}$$
$$\lambda_1(x) = \frac{x - \xi_o}{\xi_1 - \xi_o}$$

Basis functions $\varphi_j(x)$ combine the Lagrange functions with compact support



M. Asadzadeh, Introduction to the Finite Element Method for Differential Equations (2010)

- In general, can formulate FE problems as: Lu = b
 - L is the stiffness matrix, representing overlap between basis functions
 - b is the integral of given PDE with respect to basis
 - *u* is unknown

• Can define error function as:

$$E = Lu - b$$

 In order to eliminate errors, set weighted residual w_i in test space v to zero:

$$\oint_{v} w_i \left(Lu - b \right) = 0$$

• Galerkin's method is a specific example of this:

$$\oint_v \psi(Lu-b) = 0$$

where u(x) are the polynomials we saw earlier

• Can refine accuracy of BPM for wide-angle beam propagation with second derivative in z:

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dz} = -2j\beta\zeta - \nabla_{\perp}^{2}\phi - k_{\perp}^{2}\phi$$
$$\frac{d\phi}{dz} = \zeta$$

Can then choose a <u>Padé approximant</u> based on initial value of ζ. If ζ(0)=0, then:

$$\zeta = j\beta \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{\nabla_{\perp}^2 + k_{\perp}^2}{\beta^2}} - 1 \right] \phi$$

ECE 595, Prof. Bermel

 Applying Galerkin method to second-order **BPM equations yields:**

ECE 595, Prof. Bermel

2/13/2013

Reducing FEM Errors

- Error depends on match between true solution and basis functions
- To reduce error, can try the following:
 - H-adaptivity: decrease the mesh size
 - P-adaptivity: increase the degree of the fitted polynomials
 - HP-adaptivity: combine all of the above

Reducing FEM Errors

- Strategy for reducing errors:
 - Create an initial meshing
 - Compute solution on that meshing
 - Compute the error associated with it
 - If above our tolerance, refine the mesh spacing and start again

Next Class

- Is on Friday, Feb. 15
- Will continue with beam propagation method
- Recommended reading: Obayya, Sections 2.7-2.8