



March 26, 2008
9:50 p.m.

In regards to the first grievance filed, the Purdue Student Supreme Court has found the Hatfield/Rohlfing ticket in violation of Article VIII., Section 8.01, Part B, Subpoint (h), of the Purdue Student Government Election Rules.

Purdue Student Supreme Court unanimously decided the incident which was brought to our attention, in fact, is an interference of the election process. According to the Constitution of the Purdue Student Government Article VII, Section 7.03 Procedures "... The Court shall use judicial process with the preservation of the right of notice and the right to respond and defend any allegation...."

In regards to the second grievance filed against the Hatfield/Rohlfing ticket, the Purdue Student Supreme Court decided that there was insufficient evidence to make a decision, therefore it was dismissed.

In regards to the third grievance filed against the Hatfield/Rohlfing ticket, the Purdue Student Supreme Court has found that the Hatfield/Rohlfing ticket violated Article VII Section 8.01 Part B. (d) Improper use of university or other unauthorized facilities.

According to Article VI Section 6.03 Campaigning Part D. Prohibited Campaigning (b) Any type of campaigning that violates the University Regulations regarding publicity.

In the Purdue University Regulations Part 7, Section V, Part C, "Posters and signs may be displayed in specified areas within buildings and on the campus, provided that clearance has been obtained from the appropriate people in the offices: (3) In all other buildings, the building deputy or director whose office is located in that building."

Respectfully submitted,

Maria Riggs
Chief Justice
Purdue Student Government