5/15/2005 ERRATA: “Self-legislation in Kant’s Moral Philosophy”

262 l. 11: “Kantian” should read “Kant’s”
265 l. 8: should read “a constructivist interpretation fails.”
265 l. 11: strike “Kantian”
268 n.25 l. 2: should read “than its theological antecedents, his”
271 l. 1: should read “a moral community”
271 l. 11: “Metaphysics of Morals” should read “Metaphysik der Sitten”
271 n. 38 l.1: should read “The apparent impossibility”
272 l. 15: “person’s” should read “human being’s”
272 l. 19: “people” should read “human beings”
274 n.46 l. 14f.: should read “See René Descartes (1596-1650), “Sixth Replies” (Adam/Tannery 1964 (AT), VII 432-436), in Descartes 1985 (1641), 291-294, esp. 291. The issue was raised against Descartes by Gassendi in response to the fifth Meditation in the fifth objections, AT 318-319 (Descartes 1985, 221), replied to by Descartes in the fifth replies, AT 380 (261), and raised again in the sixth objections compiled by Mersenne, AT 417-418 (281). G.W. Leibniz (1646-1716), Opinion on the Principles of Pufendorf (1706), § 4, (in Leibniz 1972, 70-73); On the Common Concept of Justice (c. 1703) § 1 (Leibniz 1972, 48-50). For discussion of these issues, see Riley 1996, 34, 129-133, 213-215.”
277 n. 36 l. 4: should read “the earlier copies of these notes, i.e.,”
281 n. 67 l. 5: “phase δ? (1762-63) χ? (1769)” should read “phase δ?(1762-63) χ?(1769).”
281 n. 67 l. 12: “Phase e (1763?)” should read “Phase e (1763?).”
282 l.14: should read “<divine>”
282 n. 68: should read “Refl. 6680, to Baumgarten 1760, 61 (§ 100). Adickes (KGS 19: 131) classified this as from Phase κ? (1769) ν? (1776-78).”
290 l. 30-31: should read “create law, an exercise of significant discretionary power with respect to the law’s content, and ultimately, the creation of binding reasons for subjects”
291 n. 90: should begin “Reath 1994, 450; 453. The interpretation of the formula of universal law as the basis of a formal procedure for the construction of substantive principles”
293 l.13: “about” should read “in the choice of”
295 n. 103 l. 3: “CI-procedure” should read “‘categorical imperative procedure’”
306 l. 42: should read “--. 1999. Kant’s Ethical Thought. Cambridge.”