# EAPS 53600, Spring 2020 Lec 04c: Geostrophic adjustment

## 1 Intro

VallisE p74 (note: book uses a different type of example for this concept!)

If you impose a perturbation (bump) in a shallow layer of fluid, how does it evolve? Top-hat IC: rotating slab of finite width 2L in x-direction and constant height H (FIG **DRC3**)



Fig. DRC3. 1D rotating top-hat perturbation

Initial state (t = 0): Initial height:

$$h_0(x) = \begin{cases} H & \text{if } |x| < L\\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > L \end{cases}$$

Initial velocity: zero motion:  $u_0(x) = v_0(x) = 0$ Notes:

- an unbalanced perturbation height perturbations without winds (and Coriolis force) to balance pressure gradient force.
- fourier decomposition = superposition of many waves of different wavelengths

Analog: Tank lab #2!

## 2 Shallow water potential vorticity

Rotating shallow water potential vorticity:

$$Q = \frac{\zeta + f}{h} \tag{1}$$

with relative vorticity  $\zeta = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ A material invariant (i.e. conserved):

$$\frac{DQ}{Dt} = 0 \tag{2}$$

### 3 Analytic solution

Perturbation  $h(x) = H + \eta(x)$  (i.e.  $\eta \le 0$  in this problem) What is the final shape of h(x)? Final state  $(t \to \infty)$ :

- geostrophic balance  $fv_g = g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}$
- u = 0 (zero outward motion)

How to solve?

- geostrophic balance
- PV conservation
- total mass conservation

PV (full):

- t = 0:  $Q_0 = \frac{f}{H}$  (i.e. constant everywhere)
- $t \to \infty$ :  $Q_f = \frac{\zeta + f}{H + \eta}$

Geostrophic relative vorticity:  $\zeta = \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial x} = \frac{g}{f} \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x^2}$ PV conservation (lagrangian):  $Q_f = Q_0$  (fluid parcels in top hat conserve their PV as they move)

$$\frac{\frac{g}{f}\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x^2} + f}{H + \eta} = \frac{f}{H} \quad [m^{-1}s^{-1}]$$
(3)

$$\frac{g}{f}\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x^2} + f = \frac{f}{H}(H+\eta) = f + \frac{f}{H}\eta$$
$$\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x^2} - \frac{f^2}{gH}\eta = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{L_d^2}\eta = 0 \quad [m^{-1}]$$
(4)

Rossby deformation radius:

$$L_d = \frac{\sqrt{gH}}{f} \quad [m] \tag{5}$$

2nd-order ODE: need 2 BCs 1) Symmetry about x = 0Valid solution:

$$\eta = \eta_0 \cosh\left(\frac{x}{L_d}\right) \quad [m] \tag{6}$$

 $(\cosh(x)=\frac{1}{2}(e^x+e^{-x}),\,\sinh(x)=\frac{1}{2}(e^x-e^{-x}))$ 2) Total mass conservation  $(M_f=M_0)$  to solve for  $\eta_0$ 

$$\rho_0 \int_0^L (H+\eta) dx = \rho_0 H L_0 \quad [kg \ m^{-1}]$$
(7)

where 
$$L$$
 is some large radius  

$$\int_{0}^{L} (H + \eta_{0} \cosh\left(\frac{x}{L_{d}}\right)) dx = HL_{0}$$

$$HL + \eta_{0} L_{d} \sinh\left(\frac{L}{L_{d}}\right) = HL_{0}$$

$$\eta_{0} = -H \frac{(L - L_{0})}{L_{d}} \frac{1}{\sinh\left(\frac{L}{L_{d}}\right)} \quad [m]$$
(8)

Plug into solution:

$$\eta(x) = -H \frac{(L - L_0)}{L_d} \coth\left(\frac{x}{L_d}\right) \quad [m]$$
(9)

Suppose  $\eta = -H$  at x = L (i.e. outer edge of final perturbation, i.e. h = 0)

$$z - tanh(z) = z_0 \tag{10}$$

where

$$z = \frac{L}{L_d} \tag{11}$$

Note: tanh(z) bounded from [-1, 1] from  $z = (-\infty, \infty)$ . Solution? Consider two limits (**FIG DRC5**) 1) Case 1:  $L_0 \gg L_d$  (i.e.  $z_0 \gg 1$ ) Then  $z \gg 1$  too (because  $|tanh(z)| \le 1$ ) Thus,  $z \to z_0 + 1$  i.e.

$$L \to L_0 + L_d \qquad (L_0 \gg L_d) \tag{12}$$

Fluid spreads out by **one deformation radius** then stops. Since  $L_0 \gg L_d$ : fluid barely spreads out.



Fig. DRC5. Schematic of initial + final states for top-hat perturbation of different length scales (f>0)

Meanwhile, geostrophic wind response is strong:  $v_g \frac{g}{f} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}$  – for f > 0: on the negative-x side,  $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} > 0 \rightarrow v_g > 0$  (i.e. into page); on the positive-x side,  $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} < 0 \rightarrow v_g < 0$  (i.e. out of page).

2) Case 2: 
$$L_0 \ll L_d$$
 (i.e.  $z_0 \ll 1$ )  
 $\lim_{z \to 0} tanh(z) \approx z - \frac{1}{3}z^3 + \dots$   
Thus,  $\frac{1}{3}z^3 \to z_0$   
 $z \to (3z_0)^{\frac{1}{3}}$   
 $\frac{L}{L_d} \to (3\frac{L_0}{L_d})^{\frac{1}{3}}$ 

$$L \to 3^{\frac{1}{3}} L_0^{\frac{1}{3}} L_d^{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad (L_0 \ll L_d)$$
 (13)

Geometric average of  $L_0$  and  $L_d$ , weighted towards  $L_d$ This time, geostrophic wind response is weak since  $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}$  is relatively small. Full solution: **FIG DRC4** 

Thus, two limits = two classes of systems

- $L \gg L_d$ : strongly constrained by rotation mass (i.e. height) field adjusts weakly, flow (i.e. velocity) field adjusts strongly to geostrophic flow ("quasi-balanced"). ("winds adjust to the mass")
- $L \ll L_d$ : weakly constrained by rotation mass (i.e. height) field adjusts strongly, flow (i.e. velocity) field adjusts weakly to geostrophic flow. ("mass adjusts to the wind")

What is 
$$L_d = \frac{\sqrt{gH}}{f}$$
?  
 $L = \frac{U}{\tau}$   
 $U = \sqrt{gH} = c_{GW}$   
 $\tau_{Cor} = \frac{1}{f}$  – timescale of Coriolis acceleration (i.e. "feeling the Earth's rotation",  $|a_{Cor}| = fU$ )



Fig. DRC4. z solution for final state 1D rotating top-hat perturbation

 $(=\frac{1}{2\Omega sin\phi} = \frac{1}{2(\frac{2\pi}{1 \text{ siderealday}})sin\phi} = \frac{\frac{1}{4\pi} \text{ siderealday}}{sin\phi} - \text{proportional to a "pendulum day" } \frac{1 \text{ siderealday}}{sin(\phi)})$ Thus  $L_d = c_{GW}\tau_{Cor} - L_d$  is the distance a shallow water gravity wave travels outward before being turned appreciably by the Coriolis acceleration.

Note: the non-rotating case is simply f = 0 (and thus  $L_d = \infty$ ), i.e. no rotation, only gravity waves radiating out to  $\infty$ !

Note: what about conservation of energy? It turns out that  $E_f < E_0$ . Where does the extra energy go? (Homework.)

#### Example – waves in a tank of water

Rotating at 15 rpm, H = 0.1 m,  $g' \approx 0.05 * 10 ms^{-2} = 0.5 ms^{-2}$ ,  $f_0 = 2\Omega = 2 * (\frac{15 rev}{1 min} * \frac{1 min}{60 s} * \frac{2\pi rad}{1 rev}) = \pi s^{-1}$  gives  $c_{GW} = \sqrt{gH} = 0.22 ms^{-1}$  (recall the tank is small)  $L_d = \frac{c_{GW}}{f_0} = \frac{0.22 ms^{-1}}{pi s^{-1}} = 0.07 m = 7 cm$ How does this compare with  $L_0$  in the tank experiment?

#### Example – atmosphere

What is  $L_d$  at the equator?  $\infty$ What is  $L_d$  at the poles? Take  $H = 10 \ km$ ,  $g \approx 10 \ ms^{-2}$ ,  $f = 1.46 * 10^{-4} \ s^{-1}$  $c_{GW} = \sqrt{gH} = 316 \ ms^{-1} \ L_d = \frac{316 \ ms^{-1}}{1.46 * 10^{-4} \ s^{-1}} \approx 2000 \ km$ Here at Purdue:  $L_d \approx 3000 \ km$  – length scale of pair of extratropical cyclone + anti-

Here at Purdue:  $L_d \approx 3000 \ km$  – length scale of pair of extratropical cyclone + anticyclone (think one United States)

# 4 Why are we talking about this?

### **POWERPOINT** Maps

Extratropics: larger f = smaller  $L_d$  – extratropical cyclones, significant pressure gradients can exist in balance with Coriolis acceleration

Tropics: small  $f = \text{large } L_d$  – significant pressure gradients cannot be balanced with Coriolis acceleration ("weak temperature gradient approximation")