

THE SPACE C

OVERVIEW:

ULTIMATE AGENDA: PROVE DONSKER'S
THEOREM.

RECALL CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM.

Let $\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence
of iid random variables with
 $E Z_1 = 0$ & $E |Z_1|^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$.

Then,

$$\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} := \frac{Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n]{} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Donsker's Theorem extends this result (roughly speaking) to random walks. Specifically, consider the "interpolated" random walk path,

$$X_t^n = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} + (nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor) \frac{X_{\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $C \equiv C[0, 1]$ be the space of real-valued continuous functions with domain $[0, 1]$. Clearly, the interpolated path $X^n \in C$.

Then, Donsker's Theorem shows that,

$$X^n \Rightarrow_n W,$$

where W is a C -valued random variable. Specifically,

W has the so-called Wiener measure as its "distribution" over C .

We will leverage our understanding of weak convergence over metric spaces to prove this result.

WEAK CONVERGENCE IN C

Equip C with the uniform topology defined by the uniform metric, $\forall x, y \in C$,

$$\rho(x, y) := \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t) - y(t)|.$$

Recall that weak convergence in C does not follow from weak convergence of finite dimensional distributions (f.d.d.'s), but also requires relative compactness.

By Prokhorov, compactness \Leftrightarrow tightness on complete & separable metric spaces. So, we have:

THEOREM 7.1 Let $\{P_n\}, P$ be probability measures on (C, \mathcal{C}) . If the f.d.d.s of $\{P_n\}$ converge to those of P & if $\{P_n\}$ is tight, then $P_n \Rightarrow_n P$.

We require a characterization of tightness on (C, ρ) .

Note, relative compactness is not a good criterion to verify. We appeal to the Arzela-Ascoli (AA)

theorem:

THEOREM 7.2 The set $A \subset C$ is relatively

compact iff

$$(i) \quad \sup_{x \in A} |x(0)| < +\infty$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in A} \omega_x(\delta) = 0,$$

$$\text{where, } \omega_x(\delta) := \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |x(s) - x(t)|, \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1.$$

Note: 1. $W_x(s)$ is the so-called modulus of continuity.

2. AA says a set of continuous functions is rel. comp. iff the functions are

- (i) bounded, and
- (ii) uniformly equicontinuous.

THEOREM 7.3 A sequence of measures $\{P_n\}$ on

(C, \mathcal{C}) are tight iff

(i) $\forall \eta > 0, \exists$ an $a \in \mathbb{R}$ & $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.,

$$P_n \left(x : |x(0)| \geq a \right) \leq \eta, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

(ii) $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \eta > 0, \exists$ $0 < \delta < 1$ & $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$P_n \left(x : w_x(\delta) \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \eta \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Proof:

WEAK CONVERGENCE OF \mathbb{C} -VALUED RANDOM VARIABLES.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space.

Let $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a \mathbb{C} -valued R.V.

Let $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k}) = \pi_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(X)$ represent
the k -dimensional projection of X onto

$$(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in [0, 1]^k.$$

Let X, X^1, X^2, \dots be a sequence of R.V.'s.

THEOREM 7.5

If $(X_{t_1}^n, \dots, X_{t_k}^n) \Rightarrow_n (X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$

$\forall (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in [0, 1]^k$ & $\forall k \geq 1$, and if

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\omega(X^n, \delta) \geq \varepsilon \right) = 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

then

$$X^n \Rightarrow_n X.$$

Proof:

THEOREM'S 7.3 & 7.5 Set us up to
prove Donsker's Theorem:

THEOREM 8.2

If z_1, z_2, \dots are iid $\mathbb{E}z_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{E}|z_1|^2 < +\infty$.

Define,

$$X_t^n = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} + (nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor) \frac{X_{\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

where $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$ & $S_0 := 0$.

Then, $X^n \Rightarrow_n W$, where W is \mathbb{C} -valued
Gaussian R.V. that induces the Wiener meas.

LEMMA Suppose $\{\xi_n\}$ is a stationary sequence

$$\& \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^2 P \left(\max_{k \leq n} |S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \right) = 0.$$

Then $\{X^n\}$ is tight.

Proof of Th. 8.2: Verify Th. 7.5, assuming

the existence of the Wiener measure.

$$\text{Observe: } (X_s^n, X_t^n - X_s^n) \Rightarrow_n (W_s, W_t - W_s)$$

by the multivariate CLT.

The map $(x, y-x) \mapsto (x, y)$

is continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 , so by the continuous

mapping theorem, it follows that,

$$(x_s^\wedge, x_t^\wedge) \Rightarrow_n (w_s, w_t),$$

for any $s \& t$. This can be easily extended

to any f.d.d.

To prove tightness we verify the Lemma:

LEMMA Suppose $\{z_n\}$ is a stationary sequence

$$\& \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^2 P \left(\max_{k \leq n} |S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \right) = 0.$$

Then $\{X^n\}$ is tight.

Useful result: Etemadi's inequality

$$P \left(\max_{u \leq m} |S_u| \geq \alpha \right) \leq 3 \max_{u \leq m} P \left(|S_u| \geq \frac{\alpha}{3} \right).$$

Then it suffices to show that,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^2 \max_{k \leq n} P(|S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}) = 0.$$

Fix λ . Observe,

$$P(|S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}) \leq P(|S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{k}).$$

By the central limit theorem, if $k_1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$P(|S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{k}) \leq P(|N| \geq \lambda)$$

By Markov's inequality,

$$P(|N| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{EN^4}{\lambda^4}$$

$$= \frac{3}{\lambda^4}.$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}) \leq 3 \lambda^{-4}.$$

OTOH, if $k \leq k_\lambda$, by Chebyshev's inequality

$$P(|S_k| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_k)}{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 n}$$

$$\leq \frac{k_\lambda}{\lambda^2 n}.$$

Thus,

$$\max_{k \leq n} P(|S_k| > \lambda k \sqrt{n})$$

$$\leq \max_{k \leq n} \left\{ \frac{3}{\lambda^4} \vee \frac{k\lambda}{\lambda^n n} \right\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{k \leq n} P(|S_k| > \lambda k \sqrt{n}) = 0.$$

By the Lemma, it follows $\{X^n\}$ is tight.

Since F.D.D.'s converge, it follows from

Theorem 7.5 that $X^n \Rightarrow_n W$.

QED.