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## Diagonal QCQPs

- QCQPs where $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ are diagonal matrices
- Nicer?
- SDP relaxations more tractable ${ }^{1}$
- Better understanding of exactness of relaxations ${ }^{2}$
- Black-box global solvers seem to perform better
${ }^{1}$ [Ben-Tal, den Hertog 14], [Jiang, Li 16], [Le, Nguyen 20]
${ }^{2}$ [Burer, Ye 19], [W and Kilınç-Karzan 21]
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## Theorem ([W and Jiang 21])

Let $\{A, B\} \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n}$. Suppose $A^{-1} B$ has only simple eigenvalues. Then $\{A, B\}$ is $1-\mathrm{RSDC}$.

- Tools: canonical form for pairs of symmetric matrices ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{3}$ [Uhlig 76], [Lancaster, Rodman 05]
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## Setup

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} & x^{\top} A_{1} x \\
\text { s.t. } & x^{\top} A_{2} x \leq 0 \\
& L x \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

- $A_{1}, A_{2}$ generated randomly in canonical form
- $k$ is number of pairs of complex eigenvalues of $A_{1}^{-1} A_{2}$ "How far $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ is from being SDC"
- Tested: As-is, 1 -RSDC, 2 -RSDC, $n$-RSDC

Note: Slightly different setup than in paper
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- *-RSDC outperforms As-is on every instance
- Condition number blows up with $k$
- $k=3$ : 1-RSDC ( $\sim 10^{3}$ ), 2-RSDC ( $\sim 10^{2}$ ), $n$-RSDC (1)
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## Summary, future directions

- New notions: $d$-RSDC
- 1-RSDC holds a.e. for pairs
- Additional results:
- Almost SDC (ASDC)
- Complete characterization of ASDC for pairs
- Necessary and/or sufficient conditions for ASDC and $d$-RSDC for small numbers of matrices
- Future directions: Need better definitions of SDC
- What if cond $(P)$ must be bounded?
- Parameterized constructions of $d$-RSDC?
- Thank you. Questions?
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