

MGMT 690 - Pset 4

Spring 2024

Instructions:

- This pset is due on **Thursday, May 2** at 11:59pm.
- Completed psets should be submitted to Gradescope.
- **Exercises** are for your own review only. They do not need to be submitted and will not be graded.
- **Complete all problems 1–3.**

Exercises

- Recall that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is *closed* if the epigraph

$$\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq t\}$$

is a closed set.

- Prove that any convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
 - Prove that any continuous function from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is closed.
 - Give an example of a convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ that is not closed.
- Suppose $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for each $i \in [k]$. Define $g : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ by

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x_i).$$

Show that $g^*(y_1, \dots, y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i^*(y_i)$.

Problems

1. [15pts] Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The infimal convolution of f and g , denoted $f \square g$, is a function $(f \square g) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ defined as

$$(f \square g)(x) := \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(z) + g(x - z).$$

Prove that if $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(f \square g)^*(y) = f^*(y) + g^*(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

2. [40pts] This question derives a mirror descent setup on the simplex with the ℓ_1 norm.

Let

$$\Delta_n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \begin{array}{l} x \geq 0 \\ \mathbf{1}^\top x \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Define $\omega : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log(x_i)$$

with the convention that $0 \log(0) = 0$.

- (a) [10 pts] Verify that ω is closed and convex and differentiable on $\text{dom}(\partial\omega)$
- (b) [15pts] Prove that $\omega(x)$ is 1-strongly convex on Δ_n with respect to the ℓ_1 norm.

Hint: Recall, this is equivalent to saying that $x \mapsto \omega(x) - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_1^2$ is convex on the set Δ_n . You may use without proving that this function is convex if and only if the Hessian is positive semidefinite on the interior of Δ_n .

- (c) [15pts] The basic step in mirror descent with this setup is: given $x_t \in \text{dom}(\partial\omega)$, $g_t \in \partial f(x_t)$ and $\eta_t > 0$, set

$$x_{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \Delta_n} \{ \langle \eta_t g_t - \nabla \omega(x_t), x \rangle + \omega(x) \}.$$

Give a closed form solution to this problem.

3. [45pts] This problem improves the Frank–Wolfe convergence rate by assuming that the domain is strongly convex and the objective is strongly convex.

Fix an arbitrary norm on \mathbb{R}^n . We say that a set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is μ -strongly convex if for all $x, y \in \Omega$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$

$$\mathbb{B}((1 - \gamma)x + \gamma y, \gamma(1 - \gamma) \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - y\|^2) \subseteq \Omega.$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x_0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x_0 - x\| \leq r\}$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an L_f -smooth μ_f -strongly convex function w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact convex set with diameter D . Assume that Ω is μ_Ω -strongly convex.

Now, consider the following algorithm

Algorithm 1 Frank–Wolfe for strongly convex sets and objectives

Given: $x_0 \in \Omega$

- For $t = 0, \dots$,
 - Set $y_t \in \arg \min_{y \in \Omega} \langle \nabla f(x_t), y \rangle$
 - Set $x_{t+1} = (1 - \eta_t)x_t + \eta_t y_t$ where

$$\eta_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } L_f \leq \frac{\mu_\Omega}{2} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_* \\ \frac{\mu_\Omega \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_*}{4L} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta_t := f(x_t) - f^*$.

- (a) [0pts] Recall that $\delta_1 \leq \frac{LD^2}{2}$.
 (b) [15pts] Prove that for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\langle \nabla f(x_t), x_t - y_t \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_t + \frac{\mu}{4} \|x_t - y_t\|^2 \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_*.$$

Hint: First, show that $\langle \nabla f(x_t), x_t - y_t \rangle \geq \frac{\mu_\Omega}{2} \|x_t - y_t\|^2 \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_*$ using the strong convexity of Ω .

- (c) [15pts] Prove that for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\delta_{t+1} \leq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \left(1 - \frac{\mu_\Omega \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_*}{8L_f}\right)\right) \cdot \delta_t.$$

- (d) [15pts] Now, use the fact that f is μ_f -strongly convex to show that if $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, then $\delta_T \leq \epsilon$ for

$$T = O\left(\frac{L}{\mu_\Omega \sqrt{\mu_f} \sqrt{\epsilon}}\right).$$

Hint 1: Strong convexity allows you to upper bound $\|\nabla f(x_t)\|_*$ in terms of δ_t .

Hint 2: Consider the sequence $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$. Fix $k \geq 1$. For how many indices $t \geq 1$, can it be the case that $\delta_t \in (\frac{LD^2}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{LD^2}{2^k}]$?